| | |
|---|---|
| MHST010010182026 | **ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 IN**<br>**CRI. BAIL APPLN. NO.233/2026** |

**Kanaram / Abhishekh Kailashchand Soni** ... **Applicant**

**Versus**

**State of Maharashtra.** ... **Opponent.**

**1.** This application seeking bail in connection with C.R. No.1/2025 registered with Cyber Police Station for the offence punishable under Section 318(2), 4), 319(2), 351(2) of BNS and Sec.66(C),(D), 73 of I.T. Act.

**2.** In short the prosecution story is that, the informant received phone calls from various numbers during the 14.11.2025 to 12.12.2025. On those phone calls, the informant was pressurized by the caller posing themselves as CBI officer. They gave threats to the informant that his Adhar card had been used by one Sandipkumar for opening bank account in ICICI Bank and transacted Rs.8 Crores. The accused informed the informant that his Aadhar and mobile card is locked. They even shared a letter pretending issued by Reserve Bank of India as well as order of Hon'ble Apex Court and gave threat of legal prosecution. The accused, on threats call, extracted huge amount of Rs.1,39,50,000/-. Therefore the report.

**3.** The learned Advocate for the accused submitted that the applicant is a young boy having no previous criminal background. The applicant is a gold smith. The financial year 2024-25 goes to show that the clients of applicant has transferred amount to the tune of Rs.16,00,000/-. The customer of the applicant has not raised any allegation of transaction of any illegal amount. The applicant has been arrested and he is behind the bars. The absconding accused cannot be a ground for refusal of bail. The accused is ready to co-operate. As per the prosecution, an amount to the tune of Rs.32,00,000/- has been frozen. Thus, prayed for grant of bail.

**4.** The learned APP and IO by filing say (Exh.06) strongly opposed the application. It is contended that the total amount transferred to the account of present applicant is around Rs.95,00,000/-. The material on record goes to show that the applicant has made available his account for receiving the amount obtained through cyber crime. Thus, the learned APP prayed for rejection of the application.

**5.** With the help of both sides, gone through the application, say and documents. As per the charge sheet, the applicant has received huge amount of Rs.95,00,000/-. There is no proper explanation coming from the applicant in that extent. The applicant pretending himself as a gold smith, however, considering his age, the transaction to this extent is not possible. Be it as it may, there is no plausible explanation about this huge amount from the accused and therefore, the allegations of letting the account to the cyber criminal appears to be probable one. The accused have looted huge amount out of which meager amount of Rs.32,00,000/- has only been freezed. The offence is economic offence and hence, the accused deserves no discretionary relief hence, following order.

**6.** Hence, following order :-

| | |
|---|---|
| **<u>O R D E R</u>** | |
| 1 | The application is rejected. |

AMIT MUNIAPPA SHETE

Digitally signed by AMIT MUNIAPPA SHETE
Date: 2026.06.15 17:09:50 +0530

Date :- 15.06.2026.

( A.M. Shete )
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara