

MHST010010172026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SAMARA

Criminal Bail Application No.234 of 2026

Sohel Salim Shaikh

..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Mr. S.S. Inamdar, Advocate for applicants.

Miss G. M. Lakade, Addl. P P for the State.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,**

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

1. In the instant application, the applicant has prayed for bail under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S.

2. In short, the case of the prosecution is that on 16/06/2017, Shahupuri Police Station filed charge-sheet in FIR bearing C.R.No.231/2026 against the applicant and two child confilct-in-law for the offence under sections 457, 380 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code. On 16/06/2017, he applied for bail. Accordingly, bail was granted to him. Thereafter, he remained absent. On 08/05/2026, he was arrested by the police and produced before the learned Magistrate. On 12/05/2026, learned Magistrate rejected the application for bail.

3. In the backdrop of this fact, Mr. S.S.Inamdar learned counsel for the applicant submitted that, on 14/05/2018 applicant appeared in the matter and filed say to the interim custody of the vehicle sought by third person. Therefore, applicant was under the impression that once vehicle was released, the case is over. Hence, he failed to appear before the Court. He contended that applicant is having permanent residence. His family is depend upon him. Since last 30 days, he is in jail. Hence, he prayed that applicant be released on bail.

4. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, the learned Additional P.P. for the State, submitted that applicant is absent since long time. Due to that, progress of the case is delayed. If applicant is released on bail, the case will remain pending. Hence, she prayed to dismiss the application.

5. The roznama of the case shows that on 16/06/2017, applicant appeared before the Court and thereafter applicant did not appear before the Court. Record of the case shows that on 13/09/2017 order was passed for issuance of NBW against applicant. On 14/05/2018, he remained present before the Court. However, he did not apply for cancellation of the warrant. The warrant came to be issued against the applicant from time to time from year 2018 to till the date of arrest. No reasonable explanation given by the applicant for his absence. Due to the conduct of applicant, the progress of the case is delayed. Hence, no case is made out by the applicant for grant of the bail.

6. Applicant has filed an affidavit at Exh.4. However, applicant has not given all details properly in the affidavit. The affidavit of applicant shows that no warrant has been issued against him. In fact, warrants have been issued against the applicant on several occasions. Applicant concealed this fact from the Court. Therefore, applicant has not filed affidavit in consonance of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Zeba Khan Vs. State of U.P. & Ors., 2026 INSC 144.**

7. Be that as it may be, the progress of the case is delayed due to the act of the applicant. Therefore, this is not a fit case to release the applicant on bail. In the result, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application is dismissed.
2. Learned Magistrate is directed to expedite the hearing of the case and to conclude the case within a period of five months from date of this order.
3. In case of failure to dispose off the case within aforesaid period, the applicant is at liberty to file fresh bail application before the learned Trial Court.
4. Copy of this order be sent to the jail and to the Court of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Satara.

Date- 18/06/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
2nd Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.