

MHST010010122026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No.228 of 2026**

Tejas Santosh Shivpalak

..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Shri. A. A. Doifode, Advocate for applicant.
Ms. G. M. Lakade, A.P.P. for the State.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,**

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

1. In the instant application, the applicant has prayed for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
2. In brief, the case of the prosecution, as per the FIR, is that on 09/05/2026 at about 09:00 p.m., Aniket Ghadge, the informant, was sitting in front of the Mhasoba temple. At that time, Yash Shivpalak, Aaryan Shivpalak, and Tejas Shivpalak abused him and threatened to kill him. Hence, he went to his house. On 11/05/2026 at about 09:40 p.m., he had gone to a public toilet. At that time, Yash Shivpalak, Aaryan Shivpalak, and Tejas Shivpalak

came with an axe, rod, and wooden stick. Yash threatened to kill him and gave a blow of an iron rod on his shoulder. When he fell on the ground, Tejas gave a blow of a wooden stick on his hand and waist. Yash gave a blow of an axe on his head and back. When he shouted, the accused ran away.

3. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. A. A. Doiphode, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that there is no allegation against the applicant that he attempted to murder the informant. The informant has been discharged from the hospital. There is no prima facie case against him. The muddemal has already been recovered. The applicant has a permanent residence and is ready to abide by the conditions as may be imposed by this Court. Hence, he prayed to release the applicant on bail.

4. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned A.P.P. for the State, submitted that the offence alleged against the applicant is serious. The applicant is involved in the case, and there is a prima facie case against him. The offence is serious. The applicant may tamper with the evidence. It is further submitted that the applicant shared a common intention with the co-accused and attempted to murder the informant. The investigation is at a primary stage. Hence, she prayed that the application be dismissed.

5. The FIR, prima facie, does not show that the applicant assaulted the informant with any sharp-edged weapon. The prosecution has not produced a medical certificate in order to ascertain the nature of injuries sustained by the informant. Prima facie, no case is made out against the applicant under Section 109 of the BNS. The remaining offences alleged against the applicant

are not punishable with death or life imprisonment. The applicant has a permanent residence, and it is less likely that he will abscond. The apprehension of tampering with evidence can be addressed by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant. As a result, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. The applicant, Tejas Santosh Shivpalak, be released on bail in connection with Satara City Police Station C.R. No. 431/2026, on furnishing a PR bond and surety in the sum of Rs. 50,000/-, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The applicant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat, or promise to any witness and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.

(b) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when called by the Investigating Officer.

(c) The applicant and his surety shall furnish their residential addresses and mobile numbers and shall not change the same without prior intimation to the Investigating Officer.

3. The applicant shall furnish bail before the concerned Court.
4. A copy of this order be sent to the District Central Jail, Satara, by e-mail.

Date- 05/06/2026.

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.