

MHST010010072026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No. 225 of 2026

Karan Jyotiram Chavan.

..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Mr. M. B. Nikam, Advocate for applicant.

Ms. G. M. Lakade, A.P.P. for the State.

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

01. In the present application, the applicant has prayed for bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

02. In brief, the case of the prosecution, as per the FIR, is that API Pharne of the Local Crime Branch, Satara, received information that Karan Chavan had come armed with a revolver and was sitting on his motorcycle bearing No. MH-11-DS-8743 near Shoorvir Career Academy. Hence, he directed Head Constable Swapnil Kumbhar and other police staff to take appropriate action. Accordingly, the police staff and panch witnesses went to the spot at about 1:10 p.m. At that time, accused Karan Chavan was found

sitting on his motorcycle, and one person was standing in front of him. After seeing the police, they started running away. The police caught them. They disclosed their names as Karan Jotiram Chavan and Bhushan Somnath Deshmukh. On inquiry, Karan stated that he intended to take revenge against the opposite group who had assaulted him about one and a half years earlier. Accordingly, the police seized the revolver, knife etc. and Head Constable Sujit Bhosale lodged the FIR.

03. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. M. B. Nikam, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that the pistol has been recovered from the applicant, as per the contents of the FIR. However, no incident occurred as alleged in the FIR. Further, nothing has to be recovered from the applicant. The offence is not punishable with sentence of death or life imprisonment. The applicant is having a permanent residence. The co-accused have been released on bail. The investigation and trial will take its own time. No purpose will be served by detaining the applicant in jail by way of pre-trial punishment. Hence, he prayed to release the applicant on bail.

04. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, submitted that the offence alleged against the applicant is serious. The applicant is involved in the case, and there is a prima facie case against him. The offence is serious. The applicant may tamper with the evidence. The pistol has been recovered from the applicant and the CCL. Applicant is dangerous to society. Hence, she prayed that the application be dismissed.

05. The FIR, prima facie, shows that the pistol has been recovered from the applicant and the CCL. The FIR also shows that three live cartridges, two kukri, and one sharp-edged knife were found in possession of the applicant. The co-accused CCL was also found in possession of the pistol. The applicant was carrying dangerous weapons. He is dangerous to society. The offence is punishable with a sentence of 10 years' imprisonment. The offence is grave and serious. Considering the gravity of the offence, this is not a fit case to release the applicant on bail. The Court cannot overlook the safety of society. In the result, I pass the following order:

ORDER

The application is dismissed.

Date- 05/06/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.