

MHST010010002026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No.221 of 2026

Sagar Sanjay Ramugade**..Applicant****V/s.****State of Maharashtra****..Respondent**

Mr. A. P. Budhawale, Advocate for the
applicant.

Ms. G. M. Lakade, Addl.PP for the respondent

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH.1 ::

01. In the present application, the applicant has sought anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

02. In brief, the case of the prosecution, as per the FIR, is that on 28/01/2026 at about 2:00 p.m., a dispute arose between the informant, Laxman Yuvraj Sawant, and his wife. The wife of the informant allegedly consumed Thimet poison and started vomiting. Their sons, Raju and Shambhu, called the parents of the informant, who shifted the informant's wife to the Civil Hospital. The parents remained at the hospital, while the informant took his sons to his sister's house and thereafter returned to his residence at village Walse. At about 10:30 p.m., someone knocked on the door. When the informant opened it, an unknown person allegedly assaulted him with an iron bar on his head and hand and pushed him. When he fell down, Sagar Sanjay Ramugade, the brother-in-

law of the informant, along with his friend Abhijit Chandrakant Sapkal allegedly assaulted him with a stick on his hand, thigh, mouth, and head, and also gave fist and kick blows. Thereafter, they fled from the spot. One Mahadev Hariba Adagale is stated to have witnessed the incident.

03. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. A.P. Budhawale, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that the allegation against the applicant is limited to assault by stick. The allegations are general and omnibus in nature. There is no specific allegation of use of a deadly weapon by the applicant. It is submitted that no prima facie case is made out for the offence under Section 118(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, and the remaining offences are not punishable with imprisonment exceeding seven years. The muddemal has already been recovered. This Court has granted anticipatory bail to co-accused Abhijit Sanpkal in Criminal Bail Application No.93 of 2026. The case of applicant stands on the same footing. Hence, the principle of parity is applicable. Hence, anticipatory bail is sought.

04. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned Addl. P.P. for the State, submitted that applicant is brother-in-law of the informant. He had intention to cause the greivous hurt. He came along with the co-accused by Omni Car. There is a prima facie case against the applicant. The offence is serious in nature, and there is a possibility of tampering with the prosecution evidence. Hence, she prayed for rejection of the application.

05. The allegation against the applicant is of assault by stick, and no specific role involving a deadly weapon is attributed to him. Thus, at this stage, no prima facie case is made out for the offence under Section 118(2) of the BNS. The remaining offences

are not punishable with imprisonment exceeding seven years. This Court has released the co-accused Abhijit Sapkal on anticipatory bail as per order passed in Criminal Bail Application No.93 of 2026. The case of the applicant stands on same footing. Hence, the principle of parity is applicable to the case of the applicant. The applicant is a permanent resident and is unlikely to abscond. The apprehension regarding tampering with evidence can be adequately addressed by imposing suitable conditions. Therefore, I find it appropriate to grant anticipatory bail. In the result, I pass the following order:

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. In the event of arrest, the applicant, Sagar Sanjay Ramugade, shall be released on bail in connection with Borgaon Police Station C.R. No.36/2026, on furnishing a P.R. bond and one or more sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly pressurize the witnesses and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.
 - (b) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when called by the Investigating Officer.
 - (c) The applicant and his sureties shall furnish their addresses and mobile numbers and shall not change their residence without prior intimation to the Investigating Officer.
3. Issue intimation to the concerned Police Station.

Date- 10/06/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
District Judge-2 &
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara