

MHST010009992025



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
SESSIONS CASE No.38 of 2025

State of Maharashtra

...Complainant

Vs.

Udyanraje Pratapsinhraje Bhosale & Ors.

...Accused

Mr. M.N. Kulkarni, P.P. for the State.

Mr. T.I.Maner, Advocate for accused No.01

Mr. Mohite, Advocate for rest of accused

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 137 ::

1. In the instant application prosecution has prayed to call the original panchanama for Session Case No.39/2025.

2. Mr. M.N.Kulkarni, learned P.P. for the state submitted that, the present case and Session Case No.39/2025 have filed in regard to the same incident. The investigating officer has prepared only one panchanama in both cases. The original panchanama has been kept in Session Case No.39/2025. Hence, the xerox copy of the panchanama is kept in the present case. In order to prove the panchanama, the original record is necessary. Hence, he prayed to call the original panchanama in the present case.

3. Application is objected by learned counsel for the accused on the ground that the panchanama prepared and filed in Session Case No.39/2025 has no concern with the present offence. There is no propriety in calling said document. Hence, he prayed to reject the application.

4. The prosecution is coming with the case that the xerox copy of the panchanama is filed in the present case. Therefore, prosecution has every right to prove the panchanama. Original panchanama is necessary and desirable in order to prove the panchanama. Hence, I think it fit proper to allow the application. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application (Exh. 137) is allowed.
2. The panchanama dated 10/10/2027 filed in Sessions Case No.39/2025 be called in the present case.

Date- 12/06/2026

**(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara**