

MHST010008152026



IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No.157 of 2026

Mayur Arjun Jadhav**..Applicant****V/s.****State of Maharashtra****..Respondent**

Mr.A.P.Budhawale, Advocate for applicant.

Ms. G. M. Lakade, Addl.PP for the
respondent

Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,

:: ORDER BELOW EXH.1 ::

01. In the present application, the applicant has sought anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita.

02. In brief, the case of the prosecution, as per the FIR, is that on 28/01/2026 at about 2:00 p.m., a dispute arose between the informant, Laxman Yuvraj Sawant, and his wife. The wife of the informant allegedly consumed Thimet poison and started vomiting. Their sons, Raju and Shambhu, called the parents of the informant, who shifted the informant's wife to the Civil Hospital. The parents remained at the hospital, while the informant took his sons to his sister's house and thereafter returned to his residence at village Walse. At about 10:30 p.m., someone knocked on the door. When the informant opened it,

an unknown person allegedly assaulted him with an iron bar on his head and hand and pushed him. When he fell down, Sagar Sanjay Ramugade, the brother-in-law of the informant, along with his friend Abhijit Chandrakant Sapkal allegedly assaulted him with a stick on his hand, thigh, mouth, and head, and also gave fist and kick blows. Thereafter, they fled from the spot. One Mahadev Hariba Adagale is stated to have witnessed the incident.

03. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. A.P. Budhawale, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that name of the applicant is not mentioned in the FIR. No T.I. parade is taken. Notice is given as per section 35(3) of the BNSS. No witness is examined in order to ascertain the identity of the applicant. This Court has granted anticipatory bail to the co-accused Abhijit Sapkal in Criminal Bail Application No.93/2026. Hence, principle of the parity is applicable to the case of the applicant. He is having permanent residence. Hence, anticipatory bail is sought.

04. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned Addl. P.P. for the State, submitted that applicant has caused grievous injury to the informant. He gave blow by the iron bar on the head of the informant. Hence, there is prima-facie case under section 118(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

05. In the present case, charge-sheet is filed. It shows that I.O. had issued notice under Section 35(3) of the BNSS to applicant. It means that I.O has made mind not to arrest the applicant after perusal of the allegations in the FIR. On the

similar grounds, this Court released co-accused Abhijit Sapkal on anticipatory bail. The name of the applicant is not mentioned in the FIR. The muddemal is already seized. Therefore, there is no justification for arrest of the applicant. Investigation can be completed even without arrest of the applicant. He is having permanent residence. It is less likely that he will abscond. The care of the tampering of the evidence can be addressed by putting stringent conditions. Hence, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. In the event of arrest, the applicant, Mayur Arjun Jadhav, shall be released on bail in connection with Borgaon Police Station C.R. No.36/2026, on furnishing a P.R. bond and one or more sureties in the sum of Rs.50,000/-, subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly pressurize the witnesses and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.
 - (b) The applicant shall attend the concerned Police Station as and when called by the Investigating Officer.
 - (c) The applicant and his sureties shall furnish their addresses and mobile numbers and shall not change their residence without prior intimation to the Investigating Officer.
3. Issue intimation to the concerned Police Station.

Date- 10/06/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
District Judge-2 &
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara

