

**ORDER BELOW EXH.20 IN SPECIAL CASE NO.53/2024  
(State of Maharashtra Vs. Nanasaheb Bhosale & Ors.)**

01. Heard Ld. Adv. Smt.P.M.Jagtap, for applicant and Ld. A.P.P. Shri.N.D.Muke, for State. Perused application supported by affidavit, say of prosecution and the record.

02. According to applicant Ms.Sarita Santosh Sutar, she is the third party applicant and filed this application to release the vehicle pearl precious white colour Honda Activa Smart No.MH/12/WF/8843 of which Chassis Number is ME4JK157HPW067653 & Engine Number is JK15EW6067793, seized in C.R.No.874/2023 is registered at Satara City Police Station, for the offences punishable U/ss.406, 409, 420 r/w.34 of I.P.C. & Ss.3 & 4 of M.P.I.D.Act.

03. She alleged further that, it was seized from the accused against whom the crime is registered, but she is the only owner. She filed the documents in support and stated that, if said vehicle is kept at police station, it will be damaged. This application is supported by affidavit, copies of F.I.R., R.C.T.C.book and Aadhar-card of her.

04. Prosecution filed pursis at Exh.50 and adopted the say of I.O. as say. I.O. stated that the applicant is the sister of main accused Sachin Pralhad Pandit. Said vehicle is seized from accused Sachin and his wife Sheetal Pandit. So, I.O. has strong objection to return the property to her.

05. It reveals from the F.I.R. that, it is registered on 10.10.2023 at 20.20 hrs. According to the complainant, he came in contact with accused No.1 Nanasaheb Bhosale in December 2022 due to business. In January 2022 he introduced him to accused Nos.2 to 4 and

expressed his desire to invest money in their company, who is offering interest @ Rs.13.5% p.a. They also offered commission, if he brings more investors. Accordingly, he invested Rs.12,00,000/- in the name of his wife and himself in the Company of accused Nos.2 & 3 namely Vishwakarma Super Mart Services Pvt. Ltd. In April 2023 Company paid him Rs.81,000/- as a interest and thereafter company stopped paying So, he contacted all the accused, but they avoided for one or the other reason. As he found cheated and got knowledge that other investors are also cheated like him, he lodged this complaint.

06. In view of allegations in the F.I.R. and say of I.O., though the applicant is claiming as third party, but admittedly she is the sister of main accused Sachin Pandit. Many properties are purchased in her name. I.O. has given the detail of properties purchased by the accused. Applicant has not given any source to her independent income. Allegations are very serious. Yet all accused are not arrested. If vehicle is returned there will be hurdle in investigation. Hence considering the vast scope of investigation, there are chances of tampering with evidence. So, following order is passed.

### **ORDER**

1. Application is rejected.  
(Pronounced in open Court).

**Place : Satara**  
**Date : 07.10.2024.**

**Kamala V. Bora**  
**Special Judge (Under M.P.I.D.Act)**  
**Satara.**