

MHST010005172026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No.85 of 2026**

Nitin Suresh Pawar & Ors.

..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Shri. N.J. Nikam, Advocate for applicant.
Smt. G. M. Lakade, A.P.P for the State.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,**

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

1. In the instant application, the applicants have prayed for bail under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S.
2. In short, the case of the prosecution is that the applicants were charged with offences punishable under Sections 323, 324, 452, 143, 147, 148, 149, 504, and 506 of the IPC. The learned Magistrate recorded the evidence of two witnesses. Meanwhile, the applicants remained absent on 12/02/2026. The learned Magistrate passed an order for issuance of a non-bailable warrant. The applicants applied for bail. At that time, the learned Magistrate observed that an offence under Section 316 of the IPC had been made out. The said offence is triable by the Sessions Court, and hence the learned Magistrate dis-

missed the bail application and took cognizance of the offence under Section 316 of the IPC. The learned Magistrate also passed an order committing the case to this Court.

3. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. N. J. Nikam, learned counsel for the applicants, submitted that the applicants were absent only on one day. The applicants suo motu appeared before the learned Magistrate and prayed for bail. At that time, the learned Magistrate took the applicants into custody. The offence is not punishable with sentence of death or life imprisonment. The applicants have permanent residences. Hence, he prayed that the applicants be released on bail.

4. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned A.P.P. for the State, submitted that the offence alleged against the applicants is serious. The applicants are involved in the case. The applicants may tamper with the evidence. There is a prima facie case against them. Hence, she prayed for rejection of the application.

5. The informant filed a say at Exh. 6. He supported the arguments of the learned A.P.P. and prayed for dismissal of the application.

6. The offence alleged against the applicants is not punishable with sentence of death or life imprisonment. The learned Magistrate has already passed an order committing the case to the Sessions Court. The trial will take its own time. No purpose will be served by detaining the applicants in jail as pre-trial punishment. The presence of the applicants can be secured by taking proper security from them. The applicants have permanent residences. It is less likely that they will abscond. The apprehension of tampering with the evidence can

be addressed by imposing stringent conditions. Hence, I am inclined to grant bail. In the result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

1. The application is allowed.
2. Applicant No. 1 Nitin Suresh Pawar, Applicant No. 2 Santosh Shivaji Mane, Applicant No. 3 Dipak Shivaji Mane, Applicant No. 4 Raju Popat Sawant, Applicant No. 5 Sidharth Suresh Pawar, and Applicant No. 6 Anil Raju @ Rajendra Mane be released on bail in Wathar Police Station C.R. No. 146/2013, on furnishing P.B. and S.B. of Rs. 15,000/- each, on the following conditions:
 - (a) The applicants shall not pressurize the witnesses and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence.
 - (b) The applicants shall attend the Court regularly.
 - (c) Each applicant and his surety, if any, shall furnish their addresses and mobile numbers and shall not change their residence till the conclusion of the trial without informing the police station.
3. Bail before the concerned Court.
4. A copy of this order be sent to the District Central Jail, Satara, by e-mail.

Date- 10/03/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.