

M.A.C.P. No.65/2013

CNR-MHST01-000321-2013

Santosh Murlidhar Anpat

.... Petitioner

Versus

Bharat Maruti Vadar & Others.

.... Respondents

Order below Exh.62

This is an application for correction in the address of the petitioner in the petition Exh.1.

02. It is submitted that, in the main petition, address of the petitioner is mentioned as Saswad (Zanzane), Taluka Phaltan, District Satara. However since last five years the petitioner is residing in a room on rental basis at Satara. Though there is address at Saswad (Zanzane) mentioned in the petition, as he is residing at Satara and therefore wants to correct the said address.

03. The opponent No.3 resisted the application on the ground that, as there is no valid reason mentioned in the application, it prayed for rejection of the same.

04. I have heard learned Advocates for the respective parties. Perused the record. The petitioner pleaded that, he is residing at Satara in a room on rental basis. The petitioner has produced his affidavit in support of the application vide Exh.63.

05. As per Section 166(2) of the Motor Vehicles Act, the Motor Accident Claim Petition can be filed within jurisdiction where the petitioner is residing or doing his business or the opponents are residing or doing their business. The above said affidavit is sufficient to show that, the petitioner is residing at Satara. The opponent No.3 is Insurance Company having its Office at Satara. Therefore, even if address of the petitioner is corrected, it will not adversely affect the opponents. Taking into consideration the above aspect, the application deserves to be allowed. Hence, I pass the following order :-

ORDER

1. Application Exh.62 is allowed.
2. Petitioner is directed to make necessary corrections in the petition Exh.1.

Satara.
Date : 30.11.2023.

(P.V.Chatur)
Member, M.A.C.T., Satara.