

MHST010002652026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No. 46 of 2026**

Sunil Vitthal Ranjane

..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra

..Respondent

Mr. S. P. Mahangade, Advocate for the applicant.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,**

::ORDER BELOW EXH.4::

1. In the instant application, the applicant has prayed for ad-interim anticipatory bail as per Section 482 of the BNSS.
2. In short, the case of the prosecution is that, Vitthal Prahalad Ramdasi is retired teacher. In the year 2007 he purchased Shindewadi High School. On account of the school he required to visit Zilla Parishad. In the year 2014 he came to be acquainted with Sunil Ranjane who was serving as Administrative Officer in education department Z.P., Satara. He assured to help him in recruiting boys on the pose of Talathi. The informant told him to recruit his son and daughter. At that time accused Sunil demanded Rs. 10,00,000/- each candidate. He showed various circulars and notifications issued by the government. Relying on his words in the year 2024 he gave amount of Rs.10,00,000/- in hotel Pride.

Prashant Vhaval, Bhagwan Nagargoje, Ramesh Kanade, Ravikumar Joshi, Bhivaji Shinde and Kamlaji also gave amount of Rs.10,00,000/- to accused. In all accused took amount of Rs.87,00,000/- and cheated to the informant and other witnesses.

3. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. S. P. Mahangade Ld. Counsel for the applicants submitted that, applicant is government servant. The informant has not stated exact date on which he gave the amount to the applicant. Police had issued various notices to applicant. He co-operate in the investigation. Applicant is a disabled person. He is not in position to walk properly. In order to harass him the false case is filed. Accordingly he filed complaint in police station. Hence, he prayed for ad-interim anticipatory bail be granted to the applicant.

4. However, the FIR prima facie shows that, the allegation of cheating. He cheated for amount of Rs.10,00,000/- to the informant. Hence, there is prima facie case against the applicant. Custodial interrogation has it's own benefits. There is justification in arrest of the applicant. Investigating Officer has to recover the amount. Hence, no case made out by the applicant for grant of ad-interim anticipatory bail. In the result, I pass following order.

ORDER

Application (Exh.4) is dismissed.

Date- 30/01/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
District Judge-2 &
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara