

Payal, Surekha, and her aunt provoked them. Rohit snatched the mobile phone of Durva when she was shooting the incident. Rekha used obscene words.

3. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. M. D. Shinde, learned Counsel for the applicants, submitted that on 31/07/2024 Rekha Londhe had filed an NC complaint against the informant, inter alia, contending that the informant should not keep illicit relations with her son. At that time, the informant beat her and abused her. Instead of acting on the said NC complaint, the informant continued her relations with Mangesh. Due to that, the informant exaggerated the incident and implicated the applicants in a false case. No injury was sustained by the informant. The applicants are permanent residents. The apprehension of tampering with evidence can be addressed by imposing stringent conditions. Further, the applicants have to attend a marriage ceremony on 09/02/2026, and in case of arrest, the entire marriage ceremony will be disturbed. Hence, he prayed that ad interim anticipatory bail be granted to the applicants.

4. The FIR shows some relationship between the informant and Mangesh. Therefore, the possibility of an extramarital relationship, as alleged by the learned Counsel for the applicants, cannot be ruled out. It is necessary to ascertain the injuries, if any, suffered by the informant. The say of the prosecution will reveal all these facts. Hence, till filing of the say, this Court thinks it proper to grant ad interim anticipatory bail to the applicants. In the result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

1. The application (Exh. 4) is allowed.

2. Ad interim anticipatory bail is granted to applicant No. 1 Mangesh Sadashiv Londhe, applicant No. 2 Rohit Sadashiv Londhe, applicant No. 3 Payal Mangesh Londhe, and applicant No. 4 Rekha Sadashiv Londhe, in connection with Satara City Police Station C.R. No. 68/2026, till filing of the say by the prosecution on the given date, and meanwhile, in case of their arrest in the said offence, they shall be released on P.B. and S.B. of Rs. 10,000/- each.

3. Issue notice to the opponent as to why the ad interim order of anticipatory bail should not be made absolute.

Date- 30/01/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara