

MHST010002402026



**IN THE COURT OF ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, SATARA
Criminal Bail Application No.43 of 2026**

Sandippan Namdev Giramkar. ..Applicant

V/s.

State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

Shri. B. R. Ingale, Advocate for applicant.
Ms. G. M. Lakade, A.P.P for the State.

**Coram: Mr. S. R. Tamboli,
The Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara,**

:: ORDER BELOW EXH. 1 ::

1. In the instant application, the applicant has prayed for bail under Section 483 of the B.N.S.S.
2. In short, the complainant, Sandip Mohan Sawant, states that between 09/10/2025 at about 2.00 p.m. and 05/01/2026 till 04.00 p.m., at Jarandeshwar Sugar Mill, Chimangaon, Tal. Koregaon, the owners of the company viz. Vinmin Metals, Chengalattu, Kanchipuram, Tamil Nadu, namely Suryaprakash, and under the Development Agreement, the truck driver V. Thamizarsan, Krishnan Bala Raman, supervisor Kalathiyan, Pazani, and other 10 to 12 unknown persons, by committing conspiracy with each other for their

wrongful benefit, after loading the scrap material of the complainant company in the truck and after scaling the said scrap in the weighing machine of the complainant, with the intention to cheat the complainant company by making illegal interference/tampering in the said machine, tried to steal additional scrap weighing 25.820 tons worth Rs. 8,77,880/-. Prior to this, the said persons had also committed financial fraud by stealing additional scrap of the mill weighing 1242 tons worth Rs. 4,92,96,000/- in 67 trips by three trucks bearing Nos. TN 11 BD 5827, TN 11 BU 0208, and TN 85 Q 9533. V. Thamizarsan, Krishnan Bala Raman, Kalathiyan, and Pazani, by making conspiracy, committed robbery of a gold chain worth Rs. 1,75,000/- by beating the security guard of the complainant company, namely Dadaso Kadam, with kicks and blows.

3. In the backdrop of these facts, Mr. B. R. Ingale, learned counsel for the applicant, submitted that, as per the FIR, the co-accused cheated to the factory. There is no allegation regarding the involvement of the applicant in the crime. The name of the applicant is not mentioned in the FIR. The applicant was working as a Service Engineer in Ricelake Weighing System, Chennai. He was visiting the sugar factory regularly for maintenance of the said machine. The case is based purely on documentary and digital evidence. The muddemal has already been recovered. Now, nothing is required to be recovered from the applicant. There is no prima facie case against the applicant. Practically, the investigation is almost completed. Hence, he prayed that the applicant be released on bail.

4. Per contra, Ms. G. M. Lakade, learned A.P.P. for the State, submitted that the offence alleged against the applicant is serious. The applicant is involved in the case, and there is a prima facie case

against him. The applicant may tamper with the evidence. Hence, she prayed for rejection of the application.

5. The police papers prima facie show that the sugar factory was having a weighing scale of 80 tons. An electric chip was inserted in the weighing scale in order to show less weight. Other electric devices were also used, due to which a difference arose in the weight of the scrap. The applicant had given the electric chip at the instance of the co-accused. He had inserted the chip at the instance of the co-accused. The factory has been cheated for a huge amount. The investigation is at a preliminary stage. There is a prima facie case against the applicant. Considering the stage of the application and the gravity of the offence, this is not a fit case to release the applicant on bail. In the result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

The application is dismissed.

Date-11/03/2026

(S.R.Tamboli)
Addl. Sessions Judge, Satara.