

ORDER BELOW EXH. 15 IN C.P. No. 06/2019 (Meena Robinson Patole Vs. Head Mistress Douglas Girls School & two Others.)

1] This is an application filed by applicant/Decree Holder for directions to respondent nos.1 and 2.

2] It is submitted that, in Appeal no.13/2012 dated 23.06.2014, the compromise has been took place. And in accordance to that, respondent nos.1 and 2 submitted the bill of back wages to respondent no.3. Respondent no.3 raised objection and now respondent nos.1 and 2 are reluctant to comply the objections.

3] Respondent nos.1 and 2 filed their say at exhibit-18. They have submitted that, the bill for back wages has been sent to respondent no.3 and the compliance letter, in which the question raised for absent period of applicant/Decree Holder from 23.04.2012 to 22.06.2014. And now they are waiting for the order of respondent no.3.

4] Read application and say. Heard Advocate Shri Tayade for applicant/Decree Holder. It is admitted fact that, respondent nos.1 and 2 entered into compromise with applicant. Now, it is bounden duty of the respondent to follow the procedure and do the needful for the back wages of the applicant/Decree Holder. As, he is the party to compromise and that, order is intact, if the duty of the respondent

to help applicant in every manner. Hence, application is allowed. Respondent nos. 1 and 2 are directed to do the needful and submit the bill of back wages to respondent no. 3 within four weeks and report.

Date:- 17.12.2024

Sd/-
(Smt. S.D. Kankanwadi)
Presiding Officer
School Tribunal, Aurangabad.

Certificate

I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F file & order are same, word to word as per the original Judgment.

Name of the Stenographer : S.H.Kulkarni.

Court : Smt. S.D.Kankanwadi,
Presiding Officer, School
Tribunal, Aurangabad.

Date of Order : 17.12.2024

Order signed by the P.O. : 17.12.2024

Order made PDF on : 17.12.2024