

Shri. K. B. Parab,

V/s.

Karachi Maharashtra S.P.M. and others.

order passed below Exh. 47

(Date – 25.03.2026)

Present application filed by respondent no.1 under Order 11 Rule 14 of Code of Civil Procedure to issue directions to respondent no. 5 for production of documents. Despite giving opportunity to respondent no. 5 no reply is filed by him. The appellant had obtained copy of the application and orally raised objections.

2. I have gone through the application. Ld. Counsel Mr. Kulkarni had argued that, after vacating interim order by this Tribunal management had submitted proposal before Education Officer where the appellant had raised objection due to which the Education Officer despite vacating interim order refused to grant approval to the post of Headmaster as claimed. As a matter of fact, interim order was passed and Education Officer was directed to not to grant approval by the order passed below Exh. 5 on 12.02.2025, however, said order is vacated by this Tribunal by order passed below Exh. 33 dated 12.11.2025 and directed Education Officer to grant the approval on ad hoc basis to respondent no. 4 as Headmaster. As per contention of the management despite the order ad hoc approval is not granted to respondent no. 4 on account of objection raised by the appellant. The controversy about seniority and alleged supersession is relating to the approvals sanctioned by the Education Officers. Thus, to narrow down the controversy the alleged document is seems to be helpful. Hence, following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed and Respondent no. 5 is hereby directed to produce original letter of objection filed by the appellant against the Headmaster's approval process within 10 working days from communication of order.
2. Since respondent no. 5 appeared in person copy of order be sent to him.

Date :- 25.03.2026
Place:- Kolhapur.

(V.N.Dhavle)
Presiding Officer,
School Tribunal, Kolhapur.