

Shri. Yashwant Suryakant Mane,

V/s.

Shri. Ram Education Society Phaltan and others.

Order below Exh. 01

(Date - 09th March, 2022)

Perused appeal memo, say of respondents, entire documents before me. Final arguments of both parties have been already concluded.

2. The appellant is assailing his termination order mainly on ground that, state awardee member of the inquiry committee Shri. D. S. Patil had submitted his report, but, it was not accepted by the convenor and was not forwarded to the management. Consequently the management terminated the appellant without considering report of state awardee. The appellant has filed on record copy of report allegedly prepared by state awardee Shri. Patil, so also, the appellant has produced on record letters of Shri. Patil containing that he had forwarded his report to the convenor, but, it was not accepted. But, on the other hand, it is specific defence of management that, convenor had not received such report from state awardee.

3. In backdrop of this rival contentions, in my view, presence of all three members of inquiry committee is necessary in this case for ascertaining factual position regarding report of state awardee. Therefore, I hold that, they are required to be impleaded as respondents in order to enable this Tribunal to adjudicate and settle all the questions involved in the appeal effectually and completely. In the result following order.

ORDER

The appellant is hereby directed to implead all three members of inquiry committee as party respondents.

(Order dictated and pronounced in the open Court.)

sd/-

(A. G. Deshingkar)

Presiding Officer,

School Tribunal, Kolhapur.

Date : 9th March 2022.

Place: Kolhapur.