

ORDER BELOW EXH.421
IN
Spl.C.S.No.01/2023
(Bansi Ghadge Vs. Maharashtra State)

This is an application for condonation of delay.

2] It is submitted by the plaintiff that defendant No.7(a) and defendant No.13 are the same person. She has died on 24.10.2023. Plaintiffs came to know about it after delay. Therefore, they could not take legal heirs on record within time. Hence, they have claimed to condone the delay.

3] The defendant have challenged the application by filing say to the back page of the application. It is contended that the reason mentioned in the application is not proper. If application allowed costs be imposed.

4] Perused the record. In the light of submission , I gone through the record. The present suit is filed by plaintiffs for specific performance of contract. The death of defendant is not disputed. Reason mentioned in the application is not satisfied. But in the interest of justice and to proper adjudication of the matter, the delay should be condoned. At the same time, as the matter is prolonged and some inconvenience is caused to defendant, it needs to be compensated by imposing costs. Hence, following order is passed.

ORDER

1. The application below Exh.421 is allowed subject to costs of Rs.100/-.
2. Costs shall be given to defendant.
3. The delay is hereby condoned.

Place : Karmala
Date : 09.01.2026

(S.M.Ghugre)
Civil Judge, Senior Division,
Karmala, Dist.Solapur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of the this P.D.F file Order/Judgment are same word to word, as per the original order/ judgment

Name of Stenographer : B.R.Kondekar
Court Name : Civil Court Senior Division, Karmala
Date : 09.01.2026

Order/Judgment signed
by the Presiding Officer on : 09.01.2026

Order uploaded on : 12.01.2026