

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 43 IN R.C.S. NO. 114/2021**  
**( Passed On 25<sup>th</sup> April 2022 )**

According to the plaintiff the defendant nos. 3 and 4 were added to the suit after by way of amendment. The defendant nos. 3 and 4 are also the obstructionist. So, the necessary relief restraining them from obstructing the possession of the plaintiff needs to be claimed. This is the subsequent event. So, the plaintiffs prayed for amendment in the suit to claim the above referred relief against these defendants.

2] The defendants strongly opposed the application on the ground of maintainability and contended the plaintiff is interested to prolong the litigation.

3] The amendment sought appears to be in respect of the character of the defendant nos. 3 and 4 and their relation with the other defendants. Further, the plaintiff claiming the relief of injunction against the defendants in respect of the suit property. The defendants were added after the institution of the suit. So, the subsequent obstruction at the hands of the defendants, if any needs to be brought on record by way of amendment. The amendment appears to be formal certainly, will not change the nature of the relief claim, no prejudice would be caused to the defendants. Accordingly, the application is allowed. The plaintiff to carry out the necessary amendment.

Date : 25/04/2022

Sd/-  
[ G. B. Nandagavale ]  
Jt. Civil Judge Junior Division,  
Mohol.

## CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Order /Judgment are same word to word, as per the original Order /Judgment.

Name of Stenographer	:	S. K. Torane
Court	:	Jt. Civil Judge Junior Division and Judicial Magistrate First Class, Mohol.
Date	:	25-04-2022
Judgment /order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:	25-04-2022
Judgment /order uploaded on	:	26-04-2022