



ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT- 188

01. The objector filed present application for time to file say on the application filed by the Judgment Debtor.

02. It is the contention of the objector that the certified copies of the important documents yet to be received by the objector. The Judgment Debtor has filed the application for appointment of Court Commissioner and the copy of the present application is not supplied by the Judgment Debtor to the objector. Hence, he prayed by filing the present application for direction to Judgment Debtor regarding supply of copies and time to file say on the Court Commissioner application.

03. The Decree Holder has filed his say below the application and thereby strongly opposed the application. He contended that the order against the objector has been passed by the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Kolhapur on 30/01/2026. Now the objector has no locus standi in the present matter and therefore, no question arise to file say by the objector. The Decree Holder is senior citizen and 79 years old. The objector is only trying to prolong the matter. Hence, prayed for rejection of the application.

04. Perused the record before me. Heard both sides.

05. It appears that the objector has filed the objection at Exh.135, same was rejected and aggrieved by the same, he filed RCA No.93/2024 before Hon'ble District Court. The Hon'ble

District Court set aside the order passed on Exh.135 and directed to decide the objection within time limit by framing of issues and after leading oral and documentary evidence. The Decree Holder aggrieved by the same have filed Appeal From Order 876/2024 with interim Application No.15425 of 2024 against the order passed by the Hon'ble District Court. It appears that the Hon'ble High Court set aside the order passed in RCA No.93/2024. It appears that the objection raised by the objector had reached up to the Hon'ble High Court Bench at Kolhapur and same was rejected.

06. In the present matter, the Decree Holder has filed the application for recovery of possession. Meanwhile, the Judgment Debtor filed the application at Exh.186 for appointment of Court Commissioner. The say of the Decree Holder has been called on the said application. The objector has no role or locus standi to file say on the said application. Moreover, the objector has not stated in the present application about his specific role and what prejudice would cause to him if he would not get opportunity to file say to the application of appointment of Court Commissioner. Considering the fact, I am of the view that there is no need to give time to the objector for filing say to the application filed by Judgment Debtor. The application is devoid of merit. Hence, deserves to be rejected. Hence, I proceed to pass following order;

ORDER

The application (Exh.188) is hereby rejected.

Barshi.
Date : 27/03/2026.

(Smt. P.V. Raut)
Jt. Civil Judge (J.D.), Barshi.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment/Order is same word to word as per the original Judgment / Order.

(a)	Name of the Stenographer	:	Shri.S.R. Barangule, Stenographer Grade-III
(b)	Court	:	Smt. P.V. Raut, Jt.C.J.J.D. & J.M.F.C., Barshi.
(c)	Judgment /Order declared on	:	27/03/2026
(d)	Judgment /Order checked on	:	27/03/2026
(e)	Judgment /Order signed by P.O. on	:	27/03/2026
(f)	Judgment /Order uploaded on	:	27/03/2026