

Further order below Exh.184 in RCS No. 272/2019

1. In view of operative order clause (J), the parties were directed to suggest the names of court commissioners. Resultantly, the plaintiff and defendant Nos.1, 3 and 4 have submitted a pursoris (Exh.194), and defendant No.2 has submitted a pursoris (Exh.195) suggesting the names of court commissioners. As per the pursoris (Exh.194), the names of previous three commissioners are suggested who had acted for the same commission. However, against all these three commissioners, complaints were made by the defendant No.2 and till date, those complaints are not yet resolved. When this fact was brought to the notice of Advocates for plaintiff and defendant Nos.1, 3, and 4, both these advocates submitted that they are willing to accept appointment of any commissioner directed by the court.

2. After hearing all the concerned parties, I approve the names of following commissioners for the commission work.

- a) Advocate Mr. S. N. Kulkarni for the properties situated at village Khedbhose, Taluka Pandharpur, District Solapur.
- b) Advocate Mr. M. G. Ikare for the properties situated at village Shevate, Taluka Pandharpur, District Solapur.
- c) Advocate Mr. B. M. Lamkhane for the properties situated at village Bhose, Taluka Pandharpur, District Solapur.

3. The commissioners appointed should execute the commission work within a period of three weeks from today.

Date : 29.01.2025.

Swayam S. Chopda
Jt. C.J.J.D. Pandharpur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file Judgment/Order are same word to word, as per the original Judgment / Order.

Court	:	Jt. C. J. J. D. Pandharpur.
Name of Stenographer	:	R.M.Gogi.
Date	:	29.01.2025.
Judgment/Order signed by the Presiding Officer on	:	29.01.2025.
Judgment/Order uploaded on	:	29.01.2025.