

1. Baburao Bansiram Bhui ..... Plaintiffs

Versus

1. Shamal Ankush Bhui. .... Defendants

**ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 117**

1. The defendant number 1 has filed the application vide exhibit 117 for setting aside no cross-examination. The defendant number 1 has stated that, he is ready to conduct cross examination. The defendant number 1 has further stated that, opportunity must be given to this defendant for cross-examination of plaintiff.

2. The plaintiff has not filed say vide exhibit 117 but raised strong objection during argument. The plaintiff has stated that, the application is false and vexatious. The defendant is trying to prolong the suit. Hence, it is to be rejected.

3. I have perused the application vide exhibit 117. I have heard both sides. The following points arise for my determination.

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Points</b>	<b>Findings</b>
1	Is defendant number 1 entitled for setting aside 'no cross examination order' ?	No.
2	What is order ?	The application is rejected.

## **REASONS**

### **As to point number 1 and 2**

4. The point number 1 & 2 are interlinked with each other. Hence, I have clubbed them together and discussed accordingly. The suit is for declaration and perpetual injunction. It reveals on perusal of affidavit of examination in chief vide exhibit 91 and exhibit 1 that court has not passed order of no cross examination. The suit is fixed for cross examination of plaintiff. In the result, the following order is passed.

## **ORDER**

1. The application vide exhibit 117 is rejected.

Pandharpur.  
Date – 14-10-2025

**Smt. K. A. Katkar**  
3<sup>rd</sup> Joint C. J. J. D, Pandharpur.

**: CERTIFICATE :**

I affirm that the contents of this pdf file order are same words as per original Order.

Case No.	:	RCS 11/2013
Name of Stenographer	:	Rajiv M Gogi
Court Name	:	3 <sup>rd</sup> Joint Civil Judge Junior Division Pandharpur, Dist-Solapur
Date of Decision	:	14-10-2025
Order signed by P.O. on	:	14-10-2025
Order uploaded on	:	