

**Order passed below Ex.37 in SPL.C.S. No.145/2018**

CNR NO. MHSO06-001189-2018

I.A.No. 12/2019

1. This is an application to grant status-quo till appeal period.
2. It is submitted by the application that status-quo has been extended till today. The Exh.5 application has been rejected. But, the plaintiff is going to challenge the order in appeal. Hence, status-quo should be extended till appeal period.
3. The application is strongly challenged on the count that it is not maintainable. It is also submitted that there is no provision to grant status-quo after decision vide Exh.5 application. Therefore, application be rejected.
4. Perused the record. Heard both the sides at considerable length.
5. In view of order vide Exh.5 there are observations to the effect that the plaintiff is not entitled to temporary injunction, so as to create third party interest. Even it is clearly held that prima facie plaintiff is guilty of inequitable conduct and hence equitable relief cannot be granted to him. Hence, merely because the plaintiff obtained status-quo order priorly is not ipso facto ground to grant further status-quo. When temporary injunction application is decided on merits, considering the observations in said order as well as facts and circumstances of the present case, it is not desirable to extend further status-quo, so as to cause to prejudice to defendant. Hence, following order is passed.

**ORDER**

Application exh.37 stands rejected.

( M. R. Jadhav )  
Jt. Civil Judge, S.D.,  
Pandharpur.

Date: 25.09.2019.

**CERTIFICATE**

*I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file order are same word to word, as per the original order.*

*Name of Stenographer : A.K. Vibhute*

*Court : Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,  
Pandharpur*

*Date : 25.09.2019.*

*Order signed by the : 25.09.2019.*  
*Presiding Officer on*

*Order uploaded on : 26.09.2019.*