

COMMON ORDER BELOW EXH. 21 & 23 IN R.C.S. No. 40/2019
I.A.No. 2/2019

01] This is an application of status-quo by plaintiff and another application for adjournment to file say is on the behalf of defendants no.2 to 4.

02] It is submitted that they have received summons just. Hence, time may be granted for filing say. The learned advocate for plaintiff opposed the application on the ground that there is urgent need of road which is obstructed by the defendants. Hence, he has filed application for status-quo. The learned advocate for plaintiff submitted that at least say should be filed on status-quo as early as possible. But, the defendants are ready to file say not only status-quo application, but also on exh.5 if matter is kept on 15.07.2019.

03] Both the learned advocate showed their willingness to argue the matter after immediately filing the say. Therefore, instead of hearing on status-quo application by fixing date within 1 to 2 days, it is desirable that temporary injunction should be decided on merits. So that prima facie status should be ascertained. Therefore, following order is passed.

ORDER

1. The date is fixed as 15.07.2019 as per convenience of parties.
2. Defendant shall file their say on status-quo application as well as on application Exh.5.
3. Parties should conduct the matter positively on 15.07.2019.

Date :- 05.07.2019.

(M.R. Jadhav)
Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division Pandharpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file order are same word to word, as per the original order.

Name of Stenographer : A.K. Vibhute

*Court : Jt. Civil Judge Senior Division,
Pandharpur*

Date : 05.07.2019.

*Order signed by the : 05.07.2019.
Presiding Officer on*

Order uploaded on : 06.07.2019.