

**ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN CRI. MISC.  
APPLICATION No.890/2024**

Present Misc. Cri. Application is filed by the complainant alleging that the offence punishable under Sec.3(1) (q) of SC and ST (PoA) Act and BNS Sec.61 r.w. 3(2)(va) of Act is made out. The complainant alleged that accused No.1 committed conspiracy with each other and lodged report against the applicant on 13.01.2023 that one Onkar Mahajan entered in the house of respondent No.1 and outraged modesty of respondent No.2. At that time this applicant called respondent No.2 and abused her and used words in such manner which outraged her modesty. After investigation, B Summary report filed. Said documents are filed on record. In B summary report, it was mentioned that false report was lodged and respondent No.2 gave false statement. Therefore, offence punishable under Sec.3(1)(q) of SC and ST (PoA) is not made out. Respondent No.1 and 2 both of them gave statement to the police which prima facie false. It shows that they made conspiracy to each other to commit offence against the applicant. Therefore, Sec.61 of BNS r.w. Sec.3(2)(v)(a) of SC and ST (PoA) Act is made out. Hence, issue process against the respondent No.1 and 2 for the said offences returnable on 23.02.2026.

Date :05.02.2026

(O. R. Deshmukh)  
Special Judge (Atrocity), Pandharpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file order are same word to word as per the original order.

- a) Name of the Stenographer : S. A. B. Shaikh, Steno Grade - I
- b) Court : Add. Sessions Judge, Pandharpur
- c) Date of Order : 14.01.2026
- d) Order signed by the : 14.01.2026  
Presiding Officer on
- e) Order uploaded on : 14.01.2026

**Order below Exh.1 in Special Case (Atrocity) No.46/2025.**

No charge-sheet filed against accused No.1 Rajesh. Hence, his name may be deleted.

sd/-

( O. R. Deshmukh)

Special Judge (Atrocity), Pandharpur

Date – 17.01.2025

**ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN SPECIAL CASE (MCOCA)  
No.02/2020**

Case is fixed for the evidence, accordingly, summons was issued to the witness Sunita Revankar and assistant police inspector Vidhate. But police Station Mangalwedha submitted report that Sunita Revankar was not found in the house. Report of police patil is also not attached with said summons to show that concern persons visited the spot. Also no steps taken for service summons to A. P.I. though he is in service at Gadchiroli. It shows that no active steps were taken by police station, Mangalwedha to serve the summons to witnesses. Therefore, PSO is directed to take active steps to serve summons to the witnesses and bring witnesses to give testimony in said case otherwise case would without their evidence.

Date :20.01.2026

(O. R. Deshmukh)  
Additional Sessions Judge, Pandharpur



**ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN SPECIAL CASE (ACB)  
NO.02/2021**

Present application is filed by the prosecution to correct the description of muddemal in charge-sheet. Learned APP submitted that due to oversight the description of muddemal was wrongly given in charge-sheet. Actually police seized shirt but instead of it they mentioned as pant. Also they mentioned that six currency note of denomination of Rs.500 each. Actully, in addition to it, those six currency notes, there is one currency note of denomination of Rs.2000.

Learned advocate for the accused took strong objection. Actually it is preliminary stage, and at this stage, it is not necessary to enter into merit. AT this stage, office is directed to accept muddemal as per new description given by the prosecution and point would remain open whether such description can be change by the prosecution and it can be considered at the time of final hearing.

Date :2101.2026

(O. R. Deshmukh)  
Special Judge (ACB), Pandharpur