

CHI-896-2026

State Vs. Sushil

Present: Ms. Dipika, Ld. APP for the State.
Accused Sushil was on police bail with Sh. Sitender Kadyan,
Advocate.(POA filed).

Challan presented today. It be checked and registered. Accused has appeared in person before the undersigned being Illaqa Magistrate.

LD. Counsel for accused moved bail application for regular bail. Copy supplied. Reply not filed. Notice given to the State through Ld. APP who opposed the same without filing written reply. Arguments on the bail application heard. Alleged offence is triable by Magistrate. Accused was on police bail. Challan has been filed. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody as the trial will take long time, therefore, in the interest of justice, accused is admitted to bail subject to him furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹ 45,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety are furnished, which are accepted and attested. The accused be released from custody, if not wanted in any other case.

At this stage, accused Sushil appeared and suffered a statement that his surety may kindly be kept on the file for today, and he undertake to furnish a fresh surety on the next date of hearing. He is bound to produce his surety for the date fixed.

Copy of challan supplied to accused free of cost as envisaged under Section 230 of BNS vide separately recorded statement. Now the case is adjourned to **29.09.2026** for arguments on charge.

Date of Order: 14.08.2026

Annu

(Saru Goyal)
JMIC, Panipat,
UID: HR0632