

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE - 03, PANDHARPUR

ORDER PASSED BELOW EXH. 6

IN Civil Misc. Appeal No. 42/2026.
(Shri Vittal Rukmini Mandir Samiti Vs. Purushottam Suresh Lanke & ors.)

1] By this application the appellant prayed that, order passed by the Ld. Civil Judge, Jr. Div., Pandharpur below Exh. 5 in Regular Civil Suit bearing No. 265/2026 be stayed till final disposal of appeal.

2] Heard Ld. Advocate Shri. V. K. Jadhav for appellant at length. It is argued by appellant that, order passed by the Ld. Trial Court is contrary to the facts and documentary evidence on record. It is submitted that, prior to this, four times protective coating has been effected on the idol of lord Vitthal. It is submitted that, the method adopted for restoration are suggested by expert person. It is argued that, appellant is acting as per directions given by State Govt. It is submitted that, if the restoration procedure will not complete within time, it will affect religious sentiments of devotees. Hence, he prayed that, stay be granted to the impugned order passed below Exh. 5 in RCS No. 265/2026.

3] Perused contents of application and documents filed along with Exh. 5. I have gone through the impugned order. Admittedly appellant/defendant was going to conduct procedure of coating on idol on lord Vitthal on 23rd and 24th June 2026. Impugned order is passed on 22.06.2026. This matter came before this Court today itself in second

session. The Ld. Trial Court after hearing both the sides passed impugned order. Thus, it will not be justifiable to pass any interim order without hearing other side. In my view, before passing any order, it is necessary to hear other side. Therefore, at this stage there is no urgency to grant any stay to the impugned order. Matter can be kept tomorrow for hearing of respondents. Hence, I pass following order.

ORDER

(1) Issue show-cause notice to the respondents as to why stay should not be granted as sought by appellant. Notice returnable on 24.06.2026.

(2) E.P. S.B. allowed if necessary.

Dt. - 23.06.2026.

(A. S. Salgar)
District Judge 03, Pandhapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per the original.

01. Name of the Stenographer - Santosh Vilas Ghongade.
02. Name of the Court - Court of Dist. Judge 03,
Pandhapur.
03. Order/judgment signed by P.O. on - 23.06.2026.
04. Order /Judgment uploaded on - 23.06.2026.