



IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, PANDHARPUR
Order Below Exh.1 in Criminal Bail Application No. 384/2026

CNR – MHSO050007612026.

Imran Shaukat Tamboli

... Applicant/accused

Versus

The State of Maharashtra
(Through Police Station Officer
Pandharpur City Police Station
vide C.R. No. 379/2026)

... Respondent/State

Appearance

Mr. P. A. Undale, Ld. Advocate for the applicant / accused

Mr. S. S. Dulange, Ld. APP for the Respondent / State

(ORAL ORDER)

(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)

This is an application filed by the applicant/accused for grant of regular bail, in connection with C.R.No. 379/2026 registered with Pandharpur City police station for the offence punishable under Sec. 123, 223, 274 and 275 of BNS and Sec. 26(2)(i), 26(2)(ii), 26(2)(iv), 27(3)(e), 30(2)(a), 59 of Food Safety and Standards (Prohibition and Restrictions on sales) Regulations Act, 2006.

02. Applicant/accused submitted that he is innocent and has no concern with the offence. He has been falsely implicated in this crime. There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the present applicant. This applicant never kept, stock sell, purchased the

contraband articles. Investigation is almost completed. If he is released on bail, he will not tamper with the prosecution evidence. The applicant/accused is willing and ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court. Hence, he prayed for releasing him on regular bail.

03. Learned APP submitted reply at Exh. 4 and resisted the application on the ground that the investigation is in progress. It is necessary to investigate whether there is chain of such offences and group of accused. Deep investigation is necessary. The accused has criminal antecedent. Learned APP prayed for rejection of regular bail application.

04. The I.O. has filed his say at Exh. 5 and resisted application. It is contended that, if bail is granted to the accused, then there is possibility of repetition of crime. Accused will not remain present for proceeding of case. Therefore, bail application of accused may be rejected.

05. Heard learned advocate Shri. Undale for the applicant/accused and learned APP Shri. Dulange for the respondent state at length.

06. Perused contents of this application and say filed by investigating officer. By this application, applicant/accused prayed for grant of regular bail. Investigating officer objected this application.

07. I have gone through F.I.R. In F.I.R. name of

applicant/accused is mentioned. As per allegations in FIR, the accused found in possession with contraband food articles. However already muddemal property is recovered. The accused is arrested on 31.05.2026. The investigation machinery has got sufficient time for investigation and interrogation with accused/applicant. Thus, now applicant/accused is entitled for releasing on bail. In present case except the offence punishable under Section 123 of B.N.S., remaining all offences are bailable in nature. There is no allegation in F.I.R. against applicant/accused that he was responsible for administering poisonous substance or causing it to be taken by any person through another. The contraband articles has been recovered already. Therefore, the applicant/accused is entitled for grant of regular bail.

08. Considering the nature of offence and role played by applicant/accused in the crime, in my view applicant is entitled for grant of regular bail. Hence application needs to be allowed. Hence, I proceed to pass following order :

ORDER

- 1 Criminal Bail Application No. 384/2026 is allowed.
- 2 Applicant/accused namely Imran Shaukat Tamboli, resident of 3490, Rohidas Chowk, Gopalpur road, Pandharpur, Tal. Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur is ordered to be released on regular bail on furnishing P. B. of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of like amount, in connection with C.R.No. 379/2026 registered with Pandharpur City police station for the offence punishable under Sec.123, 223, 274 and 275 of BNS and Sec.26(2)(i), 26(2)(ii), 26(2)(iv), 27(3)(e), 30(2)(a), 59 of Food Safety and Standards (Prohibition and Restrictions on sales) Regulations Act, 2006. on following conditions :-

- a) Applicant/accused and his sureties shall provide their respective residential addresses, mobile numbers and email addresses, if any to investigation officer. Applicant/accused shall intimate any such change in address or telephone number and Email ID forthwith.
 - b) Applicant/accused shall not, directly; or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
 - c) Applicant/accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of this Court.
 - d) Applicant/accused shall attend the concerned police station once in a week i.e. on every Sunday in between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. till filing of charge-sheet.
 - e) Applicant/accused shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses and evidence in any manner.
- 3 Breach of any conditions by applicant/accused shall result in cancellation of regular bail.
- 4 Bail shall furnish before J.M.F.C. Court.
- 5 Regular Bail Application No. 384 of 2026 is disposed off accordingly.

(A. S. Salgar)

Date : 12.06.2026.

Additional Sessions Judge, Pandharpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per the original.

01. Name of the Stenographer - Santosh Vilas Ghongade.
02. Name of the Court - Court of Dist. Judge 03,
Pandhapur.
03. Order/judgment signed by P.O. - 12.06.2026.
on
04. Order /Judgment uploaded on - 12.06.2026.