



IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, PANDHARPUR
Order Below Exh.1 in Criminal Bail Application No. 189/2026

CNR – MHSO050004152026

Budhisagar Tukaram Jadhav & 1 ... Applicants/accused

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ... Respondent/State
(Through Police Station Officer
Pandhapur City Police Station
vide C.R. No. 123/2026)

Appearance

Mr. S. A. Chavan Ld. Advocate for the applicants.

Mr. S. S. Dulange, Ld. APP for the Respondent / State

(ORAL ORDER)
(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)

This is an application filed by the applicants/accused for grant of anticipatory bail in the event of their arrest in connection with C.R. No. 123/2026 registered with Pandhapur City police station for the offence punishable under section 118(1), 118(2), 352, 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita.

02. Applicants/accused submitted that they are innocent and has no concern with the offence. They have been falsely implicated in this crime. They have no any criminal antecedent. They have not assaulted complainant. There is no direct or indirect evidence against

applicants. There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the present applicants. If they are released on bail, they will not tamper with the prosecution evidence. The applicants/accused are willing and ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court. Their custodial interrogation is not necessary. Hence, they prayed for releasing them on anticipatory bail in connection with crime No. 123/2026 of Pandharpur City Police Station.

03. Learned APP submitted reply at Exh. 5 and resisted the application on the ground that offence is serious in nature and it is punishable up to life imprisonment. The accused were absconding from date of offence. Therefore, the investigation is not progressing. The informant was admitted in the hospital and M.O. has issued certificate that, the informant has sustained grievous injuries. If anticipatory bail is granted to applicants/accused, then they will again cause harassment to the informant. They will also cause danger to the life of informant and witnesses. Learned APP prayed for rejection of anticipatory bail application.

04. The I.O. filed say vide Exh. 6. It is contended that, the accused were absconding from date of offence and they are not co operating investigation machinery. They will again harass/assault informant, if released on bail. The I.O. prayed for rejection of application.

05. Heard Ld. Adv. Shri. Chavan for applicants/accused and Ld.

APP Shri. Dulange for state, at length.

06. Perused contents of this application and say filed by Ld. APP, I.O. By this application, applicants/accused prayed for grant of anticipatory bail. Ld. APP and I.O. objected this application.

07. I have gone through F.I.R. The names of applicants are not mentioned in FIR. The allegations levelled against accused are that, on 11.03.2026 the accused persons abused and assaulted the complainant by means of wooden log and iron pipe on left hand, shoulder, back and on right leg of complainant and caused injury. In FIR the role of applicants/accused is not specified. There is only vague allegations in the FIR that, accused Nagesh Jadhav and Arjun Jadhav along with unknown accused assaulted informant by wooden log and iron pipe. FIR is not showing which accused was holding wooden log and which accused was holding iron pipe. In short the role of applicants/accused is not specific in FIR.

08. In present case, the report of Ld. APP and I.O. shows that, the I.O. recorded statements of witnesses, drawn spot panchnama. I.O. also seized weapon i.e. iron pipe and iron rod. Thus, weapons used in crime are already seized by the police. The report of I.O. also indicates that, he collected injury certificate of informant. Informant was already discharged from the hospital. Thus, it is clear that, investigation of crime has been practically completed. Moreover the co accused is already released on bail. Hence, on the ground of partity accused are entitled to

be released on bail. Hence, anticipatory bail can be granted to the applicants/accused.

09. In present case, the applicants are permanent resident of Pandharpur. They are ready to abide all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court. They are not having any criminal antecedent. Therefore, by imposing certain conditions their anticipatory bail application can be allowed.

10. Considering the nature of offence and role played by applicants/accused in the crime, in my view applicants are entitled for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence application needs to be allowed Hence, I proceed to pass following order :

ORDER

- 1 Criminal Bail Application No. 189/2026 is allowed.
- 2 Applicants/accused namely 1) Buddhisagar Tukaram Jadhav and 2) Tukaram Anna Jadhav, R/o Pandharpur, Dist. Solapur are ordered to be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing P. B. of Rs. 25,000/- each with one surety of like amount each in the event of their arrest in connection with C.R.No. 123/2026 registered with Pandharpur City police station for the offence punishable under sections 118(1), 118(2), 352, 3(5) of Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, on following conditions :-
 - a) Applicants/accused and their sureties shall provide their respective residential addresses, mobile numbers and email addresses, if any to investigation officer. Applicants/accused shall intimate any such change in address or telephone number and Email ID forthwith.

- b) Applicants/accused shall not, directly; or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the accusation against him so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
 - c) Applicants/accused shall not leave India without the previous permission of Ld. Magistrate.
 - d) Applicants/accused shall attend the concerned police station once in a week i.e. on every Sunday in between 11.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m. till filing of charge-sheet.
 - e) Applicants/accused shall not tamper with the prosecution witnesses and evidence in any manner.
- 3 Breach of any conditions by applicants/accused shall result in cancellation of anticipatory bail.
- 4 Anticipatory Bail Application No. 189 of 2026 is disposed off accordingly.

Date : 16.07.2026.

(A. S. Salgar)
Additional Sessions Judge, Pandharpur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per the original.

01. Name of the Stenographer - Santosh Vilas Ghongade.
02. Name of the Court - Court of Dist. Judge 03,
Pandhapur.
03. Order/judgment signed by P.O. - 16.07.2026.
on
04. Order /Judgment uploaded on - 16.07.2026.