

**IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE, PANDHARPUR****Order Below Exh. 11 in Spcl (POCSO) Case No. 20/2025.****The State of Maharashtra Vs. Siddheshwar Ghuge.**

Siddhesh Bhimrao Ghuge

... Applicant/accused

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra  
(Through Police Station Officer  
Sangola Police Station vide  
C.R. No. 976/2024)

... Respondent/State

**Appearance**

Mr. V. S. Bendgude, Ld. Advocate for the applicant/accused

Mr. S. S. Dulange, Ld. APP for the Respondent/State

**(ORAL ORDER)****(Dictated and pronounced in the open Court)**

01. This is second bail application filed by applicant/accused for grant of regular bail u/s 483 of BNSS, for releasing him in connection of crime No. 976/2024 registered at Sangola P. S. for the offence punishable under section 137(2), 87, 64, 3(5) of BNS and section 4, 6, 8 & 12 of POCSO Act.

02. The applicant/accused submitted that, he has not committed any crime. He has no concern with crime. He is falsely implicated in the crime. No recovery or discovery is pending at the

instance of this applicant/accused. There was love affair between applicant/accused and victim. No forceful intercourse with victim is revealed from medical report. Investigation is completed and charge sheet is filed. If bail is granted to him, then he will not flee away. He will remain present on each and every date of the case. He is ready to abide all terms and conditions imposed by the Court. Hence, applicant/accused prayed for grant of regular bail in connection of crime No. 976/2024 registered at Sangola Police station.

03. The Ld. APP submitted say at Exh. 12 and resisted the application on the ground that, offence is serious in nature. It is punishable for life imprisonment and fine. The alleged offence is relating to the minor girl. In spite of knowing that, victim is minor, applicant/accused forcibly committed intercourse with victim. Applicant/accused is habitual offender. If bail is granted to the accused/applicant, he will again cause harassment to the informant and family members. There is sufficient evidence against applicant/accused in the charge sheet. Lastly Ld. APP prayed for rejection of application.

04. The I.O. filed say and resisted application on the ground that, offence is serious in nature. The victim has specifically mentioned role of applicant/accused that, he forcibly committed sexual intercourse with her. There is possibility of tampering of witnesses if bail is granted. Wrong message will spread in the society, if bail is granted to accused. Hence, he prayed for rejection of bail application.

05. The complainant also appeared and filed say vide Exh. 13 and resisted application on the ground that, alleged offence is serious in nature. There is involvement of accused in crime. Applicant/accused with the aid of accused No. 2 kidnapped victim and committed forcibly intercourse with her and also gave her tablet of anti pregnancy. Investigation is completed. Charge sheet has been filed. The accused may tamper prosecution evidence. Lastly complainant prayed for rejection of application.

06. Heard Ld. Advocate Shri. Bendgude for applicant/accused and Ld. APP Shri. Dulange for state.

07. Perused contents of application and say filed by Ld. APP, I.O. and informant. Ld. APP and I.O. strongly objected the bail application. I have gone through the FIR. The name of applicant/accused is not mentioned in FIR. The FIR is lodged against unknown persons. During the course of investigation the name of accused is revealed. It was revealed in the investigation that, applicant/accused induced victim that, there is job for event and photography at Jaypur and she will get salary of Rs. 60,000/- per month. Accordingly victim went to Rajasthan. When she went to hotel Tomato at Sindi camp, the applicant/accused made changes in date of birth of victim in adhar card. When she was staying in the hotel applicant/accused forcibly committed intercourse with her. It was revealed that, the applicant/accused repeatedly committed sexual intercourse with the victim. During the course of investigation the I.O.

recorded statements of witnesses, which corroborate the version of victim. The victim in her statement before the police have specifically stated the entire incident and forceful act committed by the accused. Prima facie there is sufficient material on record to show the involvement of applicant/accused in the crime. Hence, he cannot be granted regular bail.

08. In present case, the charge sheet shows that, the accused induced victim that, he will perform marriage with her and he will provide job in Rajasthan state and committed sexual intercourse with her against her consent. So also there are also allegations that, the accused forged Aadhar card of victim by inserting the wrong date of birth of victim in Aadhar card. Thus, offence is serious and grave in nature. As per say of I.O. applicant/accused is habitual offender.

09. In present case, the accused was aware that the victim was minor. In spite of this fact he has committed this serious and heinous crime. If bail is granted to this accused, then bad message will spread in society. Hence, he cannot be granted bail. If bail is granted to him, he will commit similar types of offence. Hence, it is not proper to grant bail to this applicant/accused.

10. Considering the nature of the offence and role played by applicant/accused in crime, I am of the view that the applicant/accused is not entitled for grant of bail. Hence, I proceed to pass following order.

**ORDER**

- 1) Bail Application filed by applicant/accused in Spcl Case No. 20/2025 below Exh. 11 is rejected.
- 2) Order accordingly.

Date : 21.02.2026

(A. S. Salgar)  
Special (POCSO) Judge, Pandharpur.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that, the contents of this PDF file are same word to word as per the original.

01. Name of the Stenographer - Santosh Vilas Ghongade.
02. Name of the Court - Court of Dist. Judge 02,  
Pandhapur.
03. Order/judgment signed by P.O. - 25.02.2026.  
on
04. Order /Judgment uploaded on - 25.02.2026.