

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, SOLAPUR.**

(Presided over by Shri.P.P.Rajvaidya)

**CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.205/2026.**

(CNR No.MHSO-0100-0651-2026)

Tabbasum Matin Yadgiri @ Yadgir }...**APPLICANT.****Versus**State of Maharashtra }...**OPPONENT.**-----  
Shri.N.M. Jamkhandi, learned advocate for the Applicant.Smt. M.M. Deshpande, learned APP for the Opponent.  
-----**(A)Case Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| FIR Number and Date              | Crime No.250/2026 dtd. 05/03/2026.   |
| Police Station, District & State | P.S.Faujdar Chawdi, Solapur District<br>Solapur in State of Maharashtra.                           |
| Sections invoked                 | Sections 318 (4), 336 (2), 338, 336<br>(3), 340 (2) r/ws 3(5) of Bharatiya<br>Nyaya Sanhita, 2023. |
| Maximum punishment prescribed    | Life Imprisonment.   |

**(B)Custody & Procedural compliance:**

|                                   |                 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------|
| Date of Arrest                    | Not Applicable. |
| Total period of custody undergone | Not Applicable. |

**(C) Status of Trial:**

|  |                 |
|--|-----------------|
| Stage of proceedings (Investigation/ Charge-sheet/Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial) | Investigation.  |
| Total Number of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet                                    | Not Applicable. |
| Number of prosecution witnesses examined   | Not Applicable. |

**(D)Criminal Antecedents:**

|                               |     |
|-------------------------------|-----|
| FIR Number and Police Station | Nil |
| Sections                      | Nil |
| Status (Pending/Acquitted)    | Nil |

|  |  |
|--|--|
|  |  |
|--|--|

**(E) Previous Bail Applications:**

|                 |     |
|-----------------|-----|
| Court           | Nil |
| Case No.        | Nil |
| Outcome of case | Nil |

**(F) Coercive Process:**

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued? | No. |
| Whether declared a proclaimed offender?      | No. |

**ORAL ORDER**

(Passed on 04/04/2026)

1. This is an application under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for grant of anticipatory bail.

2. Read the application and say. Heard learned advocate Shri.Jamkhandi for the applicant and learned APP Smt. Deshpande for the prosecution. I have also heard the Investigating Officer. Perused the entire record.

3. Allegations in FIR may be stated in brief as under;

Informant is serving as Assistant Labour Commissioner, Solapur. Letter dated 28/02/2026 is received by his Office from Deputy Labour Commissioner (Administration) Mumbai whereby informant is authorized to file FIR against the contract employees, various agents and Registration Officers namely A.R.Pawar, S.D.Rajput and U.C.Suryawanshi in respect of the illegalities committed in approval of educational assistance to the children of construction workers and committing misappropriation of Government Funds while implementing the Scheme issued by Maharashtra Buildings & Other Constructions Welfare Board, Mumbai. In the FIR, it is alleged that while implementing E-05 scheme issued by the

Board, during the period from December, 2024 to August 2025, the 11 contract employees and 5 agents (including the applicant) in collusion with each other have submitted false and fabricated bonafide certificates and other educational documents of the children of the construction workers despite which, applicants have approved the applications and thereby applicants along with those contract employees and agents have cheated Government to the extent of Rs.3,63,20,000/-.

4. On the basis of the report lodged by the informant, crime for the offence as mentioned above came to be registered against the applicant and others.

5. Learned Advocate for the applicant argued that applicant is not at all concerned with the crime as she has not received any amount of the alleged misappropriation. He argued that applicant is woman and permanent resident of Solapur, applicant is ready to abide by any conditions imposed by the Court, custodial interrogation with the applicant is not at all necessary, role of the applicant was restricted to fill up the forms only and hence, applicant may be enlarged on anticipatory bail by imposing any suitable conditions.

6. As against this, learned APP and I.O. have submitted that role of name of applicant is available in FIR, it is revealed during investigation that applicant with the help of other co-accused persons prepared false and fabricated documents and on the basis of those false and fabricated documents, huge public money of more than Rs.3.60 crores has been misappropriated. They argued that custodial interrogation with the applicant is necessary for proper investigation of the crime hence, applicant is not entitled for anticipatory bail.

7. I have gone through the investigation papers made available by learned APP. Learned advocate for the applicant though argued that role of the applicant is limited to fill up the forms only, perusal of investigation papers *prima facie* shows that applicant had accompanied some of the beneficiaries while acting as agent. There are allegations that applicant has played role to create false and fabricated documents. Perusal of the investigation papers shows that inquiry was conducted by Secretary cum Chief Executive Officer of Maharashtra Buildings and Other Construction Workers Welfare Board, Mumbai during which it was transpired that names of some of the agents are revealed who are indulged in giving illegal benefits to the persons who are not eligible for scholarships for their children. On the basis of the directions issued by the said officer, informant has lodged report wherein applicant is shown to be one of the agent. At this stage, material available in the investigation papers appears sufficient to show involvement of the applicant in the present serious economic offence involving huge public money of more than Rs.3.60 crores which is allegedly misappropriated by the applicant and co-accused persons by joining hands with each other. It is necessary to give opportunity to the investigating officer to interrogate with the applicant to conduct investigation of the crime properly. For these reasons, holding that this is not a fit case to exercise discretion under Section 482 of BNS, I pass the following order.

**ORDER**

Criminal Bail Application No.205/2026 stands rejected.

Date : 04/04/2026.

**(P.P.Rajvaidya)**  
**Addl. Sessions Judge,**  
**Solapur.**