

ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT 11

- 1) The appellant has filed this application for requesting amendment in the prayer clause by adding para No. 23. The respondent has filed reply and opposed the application.
- 2) Heard both sides. Learned advocate for appellant submitted that, inadvertently the prayer clause is not mentioned in the appeal memo. This is a technical mistake occurred during preparing the appeal. It is submitted that, no prejudice would be caused to the other side, if amendment is permitted in prayer clause. On the other hand, learned advocate for respondent submitted that, the amendment is not made with due diligence. The appellant only to prolong the matter filed such application. The nature of appeal would be changed, if amendment is permitted.
- 3) I have gone through the record. The appeal is filed challenging the order below Exh.5 passed by learned CJSD dated 19.01.2024. In appeal memo, no prayer clause is mentioned. Now, it is the contention of learned advocate for appellant that, inadvertently the prayer clause is not mentioned. I am of the view that, this is a technical mistake while preparing the appeal.

No prejudice would be caused to the other side, if amendment is permitted. Hence, I proceed to pass following order:

ORDER

1. Application Exh.11 is allowed.
2. The appellant is permitted to amend the pleading as proposed in the application till next date.
3. The appellant to submit amended appeal memo and supply copy to other side.
4. Application is disposed of.

(D. N. Surwase)

Date : 04.05.2026

District Judge – 3, Solapur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Order/Judgment are same word to word as per the original Order/Judgment.

Name of Stenographer - Nagesh N. Konda (Stenographer Grade - I)

Court - District Judge-3, Solapur.

Date of order / Judgment - 04.05.2026

Date of order/Judgment - 04.05.2026
signed by presiding officer.

Judgment/Order uploaded - 04.05.2026
on