

IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, SOLAPUR.
(Presided over by Shri.PPRajvaidya)

CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.189/2026.

(CNR No.MHSO01-000604-2026)

Akash Revanappa Bhairamdi and }
Lokraj @ Raj Natraj Bharale }...**APPLICANTS/ACCUSED.**
Versus
State of Maharashtra }...**OPPONENT.**

Shri.R.S.Matre, learned advocate for applicants/accused.
Smt.M.M.Deshpande, learned APP for the opponent.

(A)Case Details:

FIR Number and Date	Crime No.223/2026 dtd.21/02/2026
Police Station, District & State	PS.MIDC, Solapur, District Solapur in State of Maharashtra.
Sections invoked.	109(1), 118(2) r/w 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
Maximum punishment prescribed	Life Imprisonment

(B)Custody & Procedural compliance:

Date of Arrest	22/02/2026
Total period of custody undergone	One month Nine Days.

(C) Status of Trial:

Stage of proceedings (Investigation/ Charge-sheet/Cognizance/Framing of Charges/Trial)	Investigation in progress.
Total Number of witnesses cited in charge-sheet	Not Applicable.
Number of prosecution witnesses examined	Not Applicable.

(D)Criminal Antecedents:

FIR Number and Police Station	Not Applicable.
Sections	Not Applicable.
Status (Pending/Acquitted)	Not Applicable.

(E) Previous Bail Applications:

Court	Not Applicable.
Case No.	Not Applicable.
Outcome of case	Not Applicable.

(F) Coercive Process:

Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued?	No.
Whether declared a proclaimed offender?	No.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 01/04/2026)

1. This is an application for grant of regular bail under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.
2. Read the application and say. Heard learned advocate Shri.Matre for the applicants/accused and learned APP Smt.Deshpande for the prosecution. Perused the entire record.
3. Allegations in the FIR, in brief, are as under;
On 20/02/2026 at about 9.00 pm, informant along with his friends Mayur Pujari and Sunil Shinde were chitchatting in front of house of Nilesh Irkal at Asha Nagar, MIDC, Solapur. Akash Bhairamdagi (applicant/accused No.1), Ritesh Bahiramdagi, Raj Bharle (applicant/accused No.2) and Aditya Mohorkar called the informant near them. Applicant/accused No.1 Akash stabbed the knife on the chest of the informant. His brother Ritesh gave a blow of some sharp edged weapon on the seat (hip) of the informant. Applicant/accused No.2 Raj and Aditya Mohorkar caught hold the informant and that time, applicant/accused No.2 took the knife from applicant/accused No.1 and gave a blow of it on the backside portion of waist of the informant. Informant sustained bleeding injuries and he was admitted in Civil Hospital. Informant lodged report on 21/02/2026 of the said

incident on the basis of which, crime as mentioned above came to be registered against applicants/accused and others at P.S. MIDC, Solapur.

4. Learned advocate for the applicants/accused argued that informant has falsely implicated the applicants/accused in false crime on account of the previous rivalry and informant is already discharged from the hospital within two days hence, ingredients of Section 109 of BNS are not at all attracted. He argued that copy of CCTV footage produced by the applicants/accused shows that they were not present on spot. He further argued that recovery of the weapon allegedly used by the applicants/accused during incident has already been effected, material part of investigation is already over, applicants/accused are under detention since last more than one month hence, applicants/accused may be enlarged on bail by imposing any suitable conditions.

5. As against this, learned APP argued that applicants/accused attempted to commit murder of the informant by making use of deadly weapon, investigation is still in progress, applicants/accused will pressurize the informant and other witnesses hence, they are not entitled for bail at this stage.

6. Material available in the investigation papers made available by learned APP prima facie shows involvement of applicants/accused in commission of the crime. There are allegations that applicants/accused have used deadly weapon like knife for making assault on the informant. Considering the nature of allegations available against the applicants/accused regarding making use of knife for making assault on the informant, even if the argument of learned advocate for applicants/accused is accepted for the time being that informant has been discharged from the hospital within two days, it is not sufficient

at this stage for accepting his argument that ingredients of Section 109 of BNS are not attracted qua the applicants/accused.

7. Learned advocate for the applicants/accused has produced one pen-drive in support of his argument that applicants/accused were at their home when the incident is alleged to have taken place. According to him, pen-drive contains the clipping of the relevant period and it shows that applicants/accused were elsewhere than the spot at the time of alleged incident. Though pen-drive is produced, it is not supported by the certificate. There are no details as to who has collected the footage in the pen-drive. In absence of any supporting affidavit, at this stage, the clipping in said pen-drive is not helpful for the applicants/accused for directing their release on bail.

8. Investigation of the crime is still in progress. Serious allegations are available against the applicants/accused in FIR and in the statements given by the witnesses both, before police and before learned JMFC. Considering the fact that there are allegations about previous enmity between the informant and the applicants/accused and considering the role attributed to the applicants/accused in commission of the offence, it would not be just to direct release of applicants/accused on bail at this stage of investigation. For all these reasons, holding that applicants/accused are not entitled for bail, I pass the following order.

ORDER

Criminal Bail Application No.189/2026 stands rejected.

Date : 01/04/2026.

(P.P.Rajvaidya)
Addl. Sessions Judge,
SOLAPUR.

C E R T I F I C A T E

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment/Order is same word to word as per the original Judgment.

- | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|
| (a) Name of the Stenographer | : | Kurle S.S., Steno Grade 1 |
| (b) Court | : | DJ-2 and ASJ, Solapur. |
| (c) Judgment dictated by P.O. on | : | 01/04/2026 |
| (d) Judgment signed by P.O. | : | 01/04/2026 |
| (e) Digital Signature on | : | 04/04/2026 |
| (f) Judgment uploaded on | : | 04/04/2026 |