

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, SOLAPUR**  
(Presided over by Suraj V. Kendre)



**Criminal Bail Application No. 187/2026**  
**Mohammed Aslam Gulam Rasool Chanda etc. 1 Vs.**  
**State**  
(CNR No. MHSO010006022026)

**Order Passed Below Exh.1**

1. The applicant No. 1 **Mohammed Aslam Gulam Rasool Chanda** and applicant No. 2 **Tahseen Kausar Aslam Chanda** have filed this application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of B.N.S.S. in Crime No. 168/2026 registered with Vijapur Naka police station for the offences punishable under sections 89, 85, 118(1), 115(2), 351(2), 352, 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

2. The applicants have reproduced contents of report in the application. As per the oral argument and contents of bail application it is the case of the applicants that, the allegations made in the report are false. They are innocent and have not committed any offence as alleged. The applicants and their son have already registered complaint against the complainant at Vijapur Naka police station on 27/01/2026. Due to grudge of the same and in order to take revenge the complainant has filed this false case. The applicant No. 1 and 2 are Teachers and

having responsibilities of school such as supervising, paper checking, to set papers of 8<sup>th</sup> Std and to take examinations. They are having deep roots in the society. Nothing is to be recovered from them. Therefore, their custodial interrogation is not necessary. They are ready to cooperate with the investigation. They will not tamper with the evidence and pressurize the witnesses. They are ready to furnish surety. Therefore, they may be released on bail.

3. The learned A.P.P. and informant have filed their say and opposed the application. As per the contents of application and oral argument it is the case of prosecution that, nature of offence is very serious, against woman and nonailable. Investigation is in progress. The applicants are absconding since registration of offence. Custody of the applicants is required for investigation regarding from where they have brought the alleged pills, to trace out co-accused in the crime and for detail investigation and interrogation of the crime. If the applicants are released on bail, then they will flee away, will create obstacle in investigation and will not cooperate with the Investigating Officer. They will bring pressure on the witnesses. Therefore, application may be rejected.

4. Heard both sides at length. From the report it prima facie appears that, from 21/01/2024 to 26/08/2024 on account of domestic reasons, demand of Rs. 10 lakhs the applicants,

husband of informant and co-accused have subjected the informant to physical and mental ill-treatment. They have brutally assaulted the informant. They have given pills to the informant for termination of pregnancy without her consent. Because of the beating of applicant No. 1 the informant has taken medical treatment in Saifi Hospital and Tata Memorial Hospital.

5. From the report and case diary it prima facie appears that, the applicants have knowledge about the pregnancy of informant. They have deliberately given pills to terminate her pregnancy and accordingly her pregnancy was terminated without her consent. Thus, nature of offence is very serious. The illegal act of termination without the consent of informant is punishable under section 89 of B.N.S. to which punishment is provided for life imprisonment or with imprisonment extend to 10 years. Thus, prima facie ingredients of sections 89 of B.N.S. are attracted against the applicants.

6. Investigation is in progress and for that purpose physical presence of the applicants for interrogation is necessary. In such circumstances if the applicants are released on bail, then possibility of tampering with the evidence, pressurizing the witnesses, absconding of the applicants and non-cooperation in the investigation can not be ruled out. Therefore, at this crucial stage present application deserves rejection. Resultantly,

following order is passed.

**ORDER**

Application is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court).

(Suraj V. Kendre)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Solapur.

Date : 08/04/2026

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| (a) | Name of the Stenographer                    | : Kore Mahesh Arjun, Stenographer (Grade-I) |
| (b) | Court                                       | : Adhoc District Judge-1 Solapur.           |
| (c) | Date of Judgment                            | : 08/04/2026                                |
| (d) | Order signed by the<br>Presiding Officer on | : 08/04/2026                                |
| (e) | Order uploaded on                           | : 08/04/2026                                |