

IN THE COURT OF SPECIAL JUDGE, SOLAPUR
(Presided over by Suraj V. Kendre)



Criminal Bail Application No. 166/2026
Gunvanta Vyankatesh Chintamani Vs. State
(CNR No. MHSO010005472026)

Order Passed Below Exh.1

1. Applicant No. 1 **Gunvanta Vyankatesh Chintamani** and applicant No. 2. **Ajay Vyankatesh Chintamani** and applicant No. 3 **Vijay Vyankatesh Chintamani** have filed regular bail application under section 483 of B.N.S.S. in Crime No. 236/2026, Faujdar Chawdi police station, Solapur for the offences punishable under section 318(4), 85, 127(2), 109, 115(2), 316(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. In the application the applicants have reproduced contents of F.I.R.. As per the contents of application and oral arguments it is the case of the applicants that, they are innocent and falsely implicated in the crime. There is delay in lodging report. The allegations in the report are baseless and no such incident took place as alleged in the report. Nothing is to be recovered from them. They are ready to follow the terms and conditions of the bail and cooperate with the investigation. Hence, they may be released on bail.

3. The learned A.P.P. filed say and opposed the application. As per the say and oral argument it is the case of the prosecution that, nature of offence is serious. There is active

involvement of the applicants in the crime. Investigation is in progress. If the applicants are released on bail, then they will abscond, create hurdle in the investigation, will not cooperate with the investigation and bring pressure on the informant and prosecution witnesses. Therefore application may be rejected.

4. Heard both sides and perused report. It prima facie appears from report that, since inception i.e. from January 2026 to 18/02/2026 the applicants falsely represented through co-accused that, applicant No. 2 and 3 are in good company and fetching monthly salary of Rs. 50,000/- each. On such false representation the informant has performed marriage of his two daughters with applicant No. 2 and 3. Thereafter, the applicants and co-accused have subjected the daughters of informant to physical and mental ill-treatment on count of illegal demand of dowry. They have assaulted the daughters of informant and lastly on 17/02/2026 they tried to kill Prema, the daughter of informant by pressing her neck.

5. It prima facie appears that the *modus operandi* used by the applicants is very serious. Possibility that similar offences might have committed by applicants in the past can not be ruled out. Besides, investigation is in progress. After marriage immediately on the count of illegal demand only with intention to kill the daughter of informant Prema, the applicants and co-accused have pressed her neck. Thus, there is active involvement of the applicants in the crime. At this stage if they are released on bail, then possibility of pressurizing the witnesses, tampering with the evidence, absconding of the applicants, non cooperation with

investigation and commission of serious offences with the witnesses can not be ruled out. Therefore, the present application deserves rejection. Resultantly, following order is passed.

ORDER

Application is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court).

(Suraj V. Kendre)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Solapur.

Date : 31/03/2026

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- (a) Name of the Stenographer : Kore Mahesh Arjun, Stenographer (Grade-I)
- (b) Court : Adhoc District Judge-1 Solapur.
- (c) Date of Judgment : 31/03/2026
- (d) Order signed by the : 31/03/2026
Presiding Officer on
- (e) Order uploaded on : 02/04/2026