

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, SOLAPUR**  
(Presided over by Suraj V. Kendre)



**Criminal Bail Application No. 155/2026**  
**Basavraj Sidram Navale etc. 2 Vs. State**  
(CNR No. MHSO010005112026)

**Order Passed Below Exh.1**

1. Applicant No. 1) **Basavraj Sidram Navale**, 2) **Prema Basavaraj Navale** and 3) **Akash Vyankatesh Chintamani** have filed anticipatory bail application in Crime No. 236/2026, Faujdar Chawdi police station, Solapur for the offences punishable under section 318(4), 85, 127(2), 109, 115(2), 316(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

2. In the application the applicants have reproduced contents of First Information Report. As per their case no such incident took place as alleged in the report. The applicant No. 3 is residing at Dubai for his job from last five years and on 16/02/2026 he left marriage and went to Dubai because his flight was scheduled on 17/02/2026 at 12:10 p.m.. Therefore, he was not present. Nothing is to be recovered from the applicants. The applicants have no criminal antecedents. Therefore, their custodial interrogation is not required. Investigation is mostly completed. The applicants are innocent. They are ready to cooperate with the investigation. They are ready to follow the terms and conditions of bail. Therefore, they may be released on bail.

3. Learned A.P.P. has filed say and opposed the application. As per say and oral argument it is the case of prosecution that, nature of offence is very serious and non bailable. Investigation is in progress. Accused No. 3 Akash flee away in foreign country. There is active involvement of the applicants in the crime. If the applicants are released on anticipatory bail, then they will abscond, create hurdle in the investigation and will not cooperate. They will pressurize the witnesses. Therefore, application may be rejected.

4. Heard both sides at length. Perused report along with case diary. From the report it prima facie appears that from January 2026 to 18/02/2026 the relatives of informant namely Basavaraj Navale, his wife Prema Navale, uncle of boys Veerswami Rangappa Tupadale, Akash Vyankatesh Chintamani, mother-in-law of his daughters, Gunavanta Vyankatesh Chintamani, Vijay Vyankatesh Chintamani and Ajay Vyankatesh Chintamani have in furtherance of their common intention given false information that, Ajay Chintamani and Vijay Chintamani are doing job in reputed company and fetching Rs. 50,000/- per month each salary. Their father is died and therefore compelled the informant and his family members to perform marriage of their daughters with them. By giving false information about the job of Ajay Chintamani and Vijay Chintamani they have extracted household articles including two silver rings to the tune of Rs. 8,00,000/- and thereby cheated the informant. After marriage Akash Chintamani, Vijay Chintamani, Ajay Chintamani, Veerswami Tupadale and Gunavanta Chintamani on

the count of illegal demand of dowry assaulted daughters of the informant by fist and kick blows and tried to press neck of daughter Prema with intent to kill her. Subsequently they have wrongfully restrained his daughters in the house and subjected them to physical and mental ill-treatment.

5. From the report it prima facie appears that role of applicant No. 3 Akash is to the extent that he has demanded dowry to the informant, he has asked the informant to send clothes and footwears to the daughters of informant. Moreover on 17/02/2026 he alongwith co-accused have assaulted daughters of informant by fist and kick blows and wrongfully confined them. On the same day in evening he alongwith co-accused has pressed neck of Prema the daughter of informant on count of illegal demand of Rs. 8,00,000/-. It is necessary to point out that except offence under section 109 of B.N.S. punishment for the offences as stated in the report against the applicant No. 3 is not more than 7 years.

6. It is the case of the informant that on 17/02/2026 in evening applicant No. 3 was involved in pressing neck of Prema, the daughter of informant. The applicant has produced xerox copy of his passport and tickets which shows that on 17<sup>th</sup> February 2026 at 12:10 hours the applicant had checked in the airport at Mumbai. He has caught the flight on 17/02/2026 at 15:40 hours and arrival time of the flight at Dubai is 17:30 hours. From the passport it appears that there is stamp of arrival of applicant No. 3 in U.A.E. on 17/02/2026. The aforesaid documents clearly shows that, on 17/02/2026 applicant No. 3

was not present on the spot of incident, therefore there is no merit in the allegations against the applicant No. 3 to the effect that he has pressed neck of Prema who is daughter of informant. Nothing is to be recovered from him and therefore on this ground the applicant No. 3 Akash is entitled for anticipatory bail.

7. As far as applicant No. 1 and 2 are concerned since inception they are having intention to deceive the informant. They fraudulently and dishonestly misrepresented the informant by making promise that co-accused Ajay and Vijay are doing job in good company and fetching salary of Rs. 50,000/- each and their father is dead. This false promise is only made by them with intention that the informant shall believe the fact represented to them and act upon the promise. Thereafter there is involvement of the applicants in the incident dated 17/02/2026. Investigation is in progress. The *modus operandi* used by the applicant No. 1 and 2 is very serious and possibility can not be ruled out that they might have committed similar offences in the past. Therefore thorough investigation is necessary against applicant No. 1 and 2. The role and involvement of applicant No. 1 & 2 is clearly mentioned in the report. In such circumstances if applicant No. 1 & 2 are released, then there is possibility of pressurizing witnesses, tampering with the evidence and absconding as well as non cooperation of the applicant No. 1 and 2 with the investigation. Hence, present application of applicant No. 1 & 2 deserves rejection. Resultantly, following order is passed.

**ORDER**

01. Anticipatory bail application is partly allowed.

02. In the event of arrest of applicant No. 3) **Akash Vyankatesh Chintamani**, he be released on executing P.B. and S.B. of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) in the Crime No. 236/2026, Faujdar Chawdi police station, Solapur for the offences punishable under section 318(4), 85, 127(2), 109, 115(2), 316(2), 3(5) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and under section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act on following terms and conditions :

(i) The applicant No. 3 shall not pressurize, threaten or influence the witnesses.

(ii) He shall not commit any offence in future.

03. Anticipatory bail application of applicant No. 1) **Basavraj Sidram Navale** and 2) **Prema Basavaraj Navale** is rejected.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court).

(Suraj V. Kendre)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Solapur.

Date : 31/03/2026

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- (a) Name of the Stenographer : Kore Mahesh Arjun, Stenographer (Grade-I)
- (b) Court : Adhoc District Judge-1 Solapur.
- (c) Date of Judgment : 31/03/2026
- (d) Order signed by the Presiding Officer on : 31/03/2026
- (e) Order uploaded on : 02/04/2026