

**IN THE COURT OF ADDL. SESSIONS JUDGE, SOLAPUR**  
(Presided over by Suraj V. Kendre)



**Criminal Bail Application No. 37/2026**  
**Kantabai Machindra Gund Vs. State**  
(CNR No. MHSO010001182026)

**Order Passed Below Exh.1**

1. The applicant **Kantabai Machindra Gund** has filed anticipatory bail application under section 482 of B.N.S.S. in Crime No. 11/2026 registered with Mohol police station for the offences punishable under sections 3(1)(r) & 3(1)(s) of The Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act and under section 351(2), 351(4) & 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.
2. In the application the applicant has reproduced contents of report. As per the oral argument and contents of application it is her case that, she is innocent and has not committed any crime. There is no prima facie evidence against her. Nothing is to be recovered from her. Investigation is practically completed. She will cooperate with investigation and will not tamper with the evidence. Therefore, she may be released on bail.
3. The informant and learned A.P.P. have filed their say and opposed the application. In their say they have reproduced

the contents of report. As per oral argument and contents of say it is their case that, nature of offence is serious. Investigation is in progress. Physical presence of the applicant for interrogation is required. There is active involvement of the applicant in the crime. If the applicant is released on bail then she will flee away, create hurdle in the investigation, pressurize and threaten the witness. Therefore, bail application may be rejected.

4. Heard both sides at length. Perused report and case diary. Prima facie it appears from the report that on account of sharing post on Hivare village WhatsApp group, there was verbal clash in between the informant and daughter of applicant on 19/06/2025. Therefore, the informant has lodged N.C. case to that effect. However, on 18/07/2025, the applicant has also lodged N.C. case against the informant. On 05/08/2025 the applicant abused the informant at Vimal Agro Agency, Hivare that, why you have lodged report against her daughter and son-in-law. She abused the informant that, “मला विचारल्याशिवाय तु हिवरे गावात यायचे व राहायचे नाही व गावातील कोणत्याही सोशल मिडीया ग्रुपवर तु सामील व्हायचे नाही, कारण तु चांभाराचा आहेस. तुझी लायकी काय आहे रे आमच्यासमोर, माझ्या जावयास सांगून तुझा कायमचा काटा काढीन, तो मोठा अधिकारी आहे, मी समाजसेविका आहे व माझा दबदबा आहे, तुझ्यावर खोटा गुन्हा दाखल करते”.

5. From the report it prima facie appears that, the incident of applicant and informant was took place on 05/08/2025. However, the informant has lodged report on

03/01/2026 belatedly after nearabout 5 months and he has not given any satisfactory explanation to that effect. On this count along the report becomes doubt.

6. Prior to lodging this report the applicant and informant have lodged N.C. cases against each other. That means, the applicant and informant are not having good terms. Since the present report is lodged belatedly after nearabout 5 months, possibility can not be ruled out that to give counterblast to the N.C. case filed by applicant, informant might have lodged the present report. It appears that there is no prima facie case against the applicant at this stage. If the applicant is released on bail, then there is no possibility of tampering with the evidence, pressurizing the witnesses and absconding the applicant. So present application deserves to be allowed. Resultantly, following order is passed.

### **ORDER**

1. Anticipatory bail application is allowed.

2. In the event of arrest of applicant **Kantabai Machindra Gund**, she be released on executing P.B. and S.B. of Rs. 15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand only) in Crime No. 11/2026 registered with Mohol police station for the offences punishable under section 3(1)(r) & 3(1)(s) of The Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act and under section 351(2), 351(4) & 352 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita on following

conditions :-

- (i) The applicant shall not pressurize, threaten or influence the witnesses.
- (ii) The applicant shall not commit any offence in future.

(Dictated and pronounced in open court).

**(Suraj V. Kendre)**  
**Additional Sessions Judge,**  
**Solapur.**

**Date : 02/04/2026**

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file Judgment are same word to word as per the original Judgment/Order.

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| (a) | Name of the Stenographer                    | : Kore Mahesh Arjun, Stenographer (Grade-I) |
| (b) | Court                                       | : Adhoc District Judge-1 Solapur.           |
| (c) | Date of Judgment                            | : 02/04/2026                                |
| (d) | Order signed by the<br>Presiding Officer on | : 02/04/2026                                |
| (e) | Order uploaded on                           | : 04/04/2026                                |