

ORDER BELOW EXH. 30 IN SESSIONS CASE NO.18/2025
(State of Maharashtra Vs. Sachin Sakat)
(CNR No. MHSN170004202025)

1. This application is filed by the prosecution for setting aside no cross order passed below Exh. 29 contending that Ld. A.P.P. Smt. A. M. Satvilkar was engaged in the court at Sangli on 15/05/2026 so she could not attend this matter. The court has passed no cross order against the prosecution. Hence, this application.
2. Learned Advocate for the accused has filed say and denied contention in the application. The prosecution has intentionally avoided to proceed with the matter. It is prayed application be rejected.
3. I have heard both sides. Gone through the record.
4. The accused is charge-sheeted for the offence pun. u/s. 140(3), 62, 63, 63(5), 63(7), 64(i) and 64(c) of the B.N.S. It appears from record Ld. A.P.P. remained absent when called and failed to conduct further cross examination of the witness. No application filed on record, therefore no-cross order passed against the prosecution. Ld. A.P.P. is ready to conduct further cross examination. Accused is in jail. If the application is allowed and permission is granted to take further cross examination of PW No.2 as prayed in the application, it will not cause loss to the accused. Considering reason mentioned in the application, I would like to allow this application and pass following order.

ORDER

1. The application (Exh.30) is allowed.
2. No cross order passed below Exh.29 on 15/05/2026 is hereby set aside with direction to the prosecution to conduct cross examination of PW No.2 today itself.
3. This application is decided and disposed off accordingly.

Vita
dt.12.06.2026

(Usha V. Indapure)
Additional Sessions Judge
Vita.