

MHNS010025932026



ORDER BELOW EX. 01 IN CRIMINAL BAIL
APPLICATION NO. 814 OF 2026

(Bhushan Vinayak Borase vs. The State of Maharashtra through
Indiranagar Police Station, Nashik)

(A)	CASE DETAILS	
i)	FIR number and Date	221 of 2026, dtd. 24/04/2026
ii)	Police Station, District and State	Indiranagar Police Station, Nashik, Dist. Nashik (Maharashtra)
iii)	Sections invoked	64(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of BNS
iv)	Maximum punishment prescribed	Up to 10 years imprisonment
(B)	CUSTODY & PROCEDURAL COMPLIANCE	
i)	Date of Arrest	25/04/2026
ii)	Total period of custody undergone	1 month 7 days
(C)	STATUS OF TRIAL :-	
i)	Stage of proceeding (Investigation/ Charge-sheet/ Cognizance/ Framing of Charges/ Trial)	Investigation
ii)	Total No. of witnesses cited in the charge-sheet	N/A

iii)	Number of prosecution witnesses examined	N/A
(D)	CRIMINAL ANTECEDENTS :-	
i)	FIR No. & Police Station	-
ii)	Sections	-
iii)	Status (Pending/ Acquitted/ Convicted)	-
(E)	COERCIVE PROCESSES:-	
i)	Whether any non-bailable warrant was issued?	-
ii)	Whether declared a proclaimed offender?	-

The applicant /accused prayed for his release on bail under section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS) in connection with C. R. No. 221 of 2026 registered with Indiranagar Police Station for the offence punishable under sections 64(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

02] The recitals of FIR would reveal that the informant lodged the complaint with police interalia alleging that she was acquainted with Bhushan Borase (present applicant), the husband of her cousin sister. In the month of July 2023 the applicant came to her and expressed his feeling that he loved her and also asked her for having physical relationship. Despite her clear denial for

the same, the applicant tried to force himself upon her. On 06/04/2024 the applicant came to her house and forcibly developed physical relationship with her. He had also given one mobile phone to her and they were having chats. Thereafter, the applicant blackmailed her by saying that he would disclose about their relations with her husband and on that count maintained physical relation with her. He also abused and threatened to kill her. Hence, the complaint.

03] The bail is prayed mainly on the ground that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the crime. There was monitory transaction between the applicant and the husband of the informant and when the applicant had demanded back the amount paid to the husband of informant, he has been falsely implicated in the case.

04] The respondent State has resisted the petition by filing reply at Exh. 7 interalia contending that the applicant has committed a serious offence of rape and blackmailed her that he would made their photographs viral, in the event she didn't succumb to his demand. The investigation is in progress and release of applicant would hamper the progress of investigation.

05] The informant / victim has also filed reply at Exh. 8 and opposed the grant of bail. It is alleged that in the event of release of applicant, he would defame the victim by making viral their photographs and it would endanger her life, hence prayed for

rejection of the bail petition.

06] Having heard Ld. Advocate for the respective parties, it is evident that since three years prior to filing of the present application, the informant and the applicant were in physical relationship. It is strange that when the applicant had allegedly forced himself on the informant, she didn't resist or lodged complaint with the police. It further appears that there are two photographs of their intimate relationship which were allegedly taken by the applicant for which she has been no objection.

07] In the backdrop of the facts given above, prima facie their appears to be substance in the allegations that there were monitory transaction between the applicant and the husband of informant and when the applicant had demanded back the amount paid to the husband of informant, the allegations of rape have been made.

08] The applicant is behind bar since 25/04/2026, he has co-operated with the investigation, nothing remains to be further recovered or discovered at the instance of the applicant. There are no criminal antecedents to the discredit of the applicant and therefore application deserves to be allowed in terms of order below.

ORDER

01] Criminal Bail Application No. 814 of 2026 is allowed.

02] The applicant /accused namely Bhushan Vinayak Borase be released on executing P. B. and S. B. of Rs. 50,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand) with one or two solvent surety/ies of like amount in connection with C. R. No. 221 of 2026 registered with Indiranagar Police Station for the offence punishable under sections under sections 64(1), 352, 351(2), 351(3) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) on following conditions :

a) He shall report concern Police Station on every Monday in between 8:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. till conclusion of the investigation and filing of charge-sheet.

b) He shall not indulge in the similar offences and shall maintain calm and peace.

c) He shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat, promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or any police officer.

d) He shall not tamper the Prosecution witnesses.

e) In the event of breach of any of the conditions as narrated above without any further order, the liberty granted to the above applicants shall stand cancelled.

03] Not to enter the area of the informant till conclusion of trial.

04] The copy of the order be forwarded to the concern Police Station and Superintendent, Central Jail, Nashik road, Nashik through email for information.

05] Criminal Bail Application No. 814 of 2026 stands disposed of accordingly.

(Pronounced and dictated in open Court).

Sd/-xxx

Place : Nashik
Date : 02.06.2026

(V. V. Kathare)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Nashik