

15 Cr Cases 4887/2026
STATE Vs. Saurav
FIR No. 008998/2026
(Delhi Cantt.)

25.06.2026

Present: Ld. APP for the state.
Accused in person.
IO in person.

IO submits that in the present case, the accused has been alleged to have committed theft of a motorcycle.

He has been correctly identified by the witness and he can be identified through CCTV footage.

I have heard the submissions on the point of cognizance. Offence punishable u/s 305B/317(2) BNS has been pressed in the charge sheet.

I have perused the charge sheet and the documents annexed with the charge-sheet. It prima facie discloses commission of offence. I take cognizance of the offence.

Let formal summons be issued to the accused for the NDOH.

Accused is present in court today.

It is noted that copy of CCTV footage is not on record.

Let notice be issued to IO to appear along with copy of CCTV and pen drive on NDOH.

Put up on 30.11.2026.

(Ankit Garg)
JMFC-08, PHC, ND
25.06.2026