

ORDER BELOW EXH. 54 IN
REGULAR CIVIL SUIT NO. 36/2017
SANGITA SUTAR VS. RAGHUNATH SUTAR & ORS.
(PASSED ON 21.01.2020)

This is an application filed by defendant No. 1 & 2 for setting aside no say order passed below Exh. 50.

2. According to defendant No. 1 & 2 no say order is passed against them. The defendant No. 2 was ill and therefore he could not furnish the necessary information. Now the defendants are ready to file their say on application below Exh. 50.

3. The plaintiff has resisted application. According to plaintiff, if the application is allowed, heavy cost be imposed.

4. Perused record. Heard learned advocate on behalf of plaintiff. The learned advocate on behalf of defendant No. 1 & 2 is absent. Considering nature of dispute and reason assigned in the application the opportunity deserves to be given to defendants for submitting their reply. Accordingly, I proceed to pass following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. No say order passed below Exh. 50 is set aside to the extent of defendant No. 1 & 2.

Date :- 21.01.2020.
Palus.

Civil Judge Junior Division,
Palus.