

ORDER BELOW EXH.1 IN R.C.C. No.32/2020

(State of Maharashtra V/s. Sanjay Waware)

CNRNO-MHSN12-000167-2020

Perused the application. Heard learned APP Smt. S. V. Patil and learned Advocate Shri. S. N. Chawgule for accused. By this application U/s. 311 of Cr.P.C. The prosecution wants to examine 1 daughter and 1 son of informant. The learned Advocate for accused filed say that, the police has not reduced in writing the statement of these 2 children.

2. On perusal of FIR it appears that, at the time of incidence the children of informant were and shouted cried and the accused pushed the children of informant. Therefore, I am of the opinion that it is necessary to allow the prosecution for examining the children who are eye witness of the incidence. On perusal of charge-sheet it appears that, the investigating officer already investigated and recorded statement of one daughter viz. Swati Supnekar. So, far as son of informant Nitin Supnekar is concerned, he is also the eye witness. Hence, he is also necessary witness required to be examined in the interest of justice.

ORDER

1. Application vide Exh. 29 is allowed.
2. Issue summons one daughter Swati Supnekar and one son namely Nitin Supnekar.

Palus

Date:06/06/2024

(I. A. Y. A. Khan)

Judicial Magistrate, F.C., Palus

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F. file are same, word to word, as per the original.

Name of Stenographer	:	Mrs. Jyoti A. Salunkhe Stenographer Grade III
Court	:	Jt. C.J.J.D. & J.M.F.C., Palus
Date	:	06/06/2024
Signed by P. O. on	:	06/06/2024
Uploaded on	:	07/06/2024