

S.C.C.No. 455/2024
(Lokamata Mahila Gramin Bigarsheti Co. Pathasanstha Vs. Suresh)
ORDER BELOW EXH. 1
(Passed on 22/11/2024)

Perused the complaint, verification of complainant on affidavit and perused the documents filed along with the complaint. Heard the learned advocate **Shri. T. B. Salunkhe** for the complainant. The record shows that the accused had issued cheque bearing No. **010934 of Bank of Maharashtra, Branch – Sankh** for Rs. **40,657/-** in favour of the complainant for repayment of loan. The complaint deposited the said cheque in its bank for encashment. But the said cheque returned unpaid due to reason **Funds insufficient**. The complainant has issued notice to the accused and demanded cheque amount. But even after receipt of notice, the accused failed to pay cheque amount to the complainant within prescribed period of limitation. Thus, it prima facie appears that accused has been committed an offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act. Hence, issue process U/s. 138 of Negotiable Instruments Act.

Place :- Jath
Date :- 22/11/2024

(Prakash C. Bachhale)
Judicial Magistrate First Class,
Jath