

Order Below Exh. 1 in RCS No. 36 /2009
Radhabai Mhasku Karade and other Vs Vikram Yashwantrao Dafale
and other.
(CNR NO.MHSN08000156-2009)

Today the matter is on board. On perusal of record, it appears that as per the objection in inspection report for the period 2018 to 2022, the amendment carried out as per order below Exh. 85 and 104 on page nos. 1,2 and 4 are not initialized by the then Presiding Officer. Therefore, in view of the objection in the above said inspection report, it is necessary to initialize the said amendment.

2. Further the amendment carried out at Exh. 22 as per order below Exh. 34 is also not initialized by the then Presiding Officer. Therefore, in view of the objections in the above said inspection report, it is necessary to initialize the amendment carried out on page nos. 4 and 5 of Exh. 22. Hence, I pass the following order.

ORDER

1. The amendment carried on page nos.1, 2 and 4 of the plaint at Exh. 1 and amendment carried out at page nos. 4 and 5 of Exh. 22 are initialized today, in view of the compliance of objection raised in inspection report.

Jath
Date: 04.05.2022

(A. B. Jadhav)
Joint Civil Judge, Junior Division,
Jath.

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file order/judgment are same word for word as per original order.

Name of steno : Shri. I.G. Ainapure,
(Stenographer Grade – III)

Name of court : Shri. A. B. Jadhav,
Jt. C.J.J.D.& J.M.F.C., Jath,

Order Date : 04.05.2022

Judgment/order signed
by Presiding Officer on : 04.05.2022

Judgment/order
uploaded on : 23.05.2022