

CNRMHSN04001152019

Commercial Suit No. 04/2019

B Kumaraswamy Reddy- Plaintiff

Vs

Maharashtra Krishna Valley

Development Corporation and ors. - Defendants

ORDER BELOW EXH.46

1] Perused the application and say given at Exh. 48.

2] Heard learned Advocate Shri. Shah for the plaintiff and learned advocate Smt. Kabbur for the defendants.

3] At the outset I may note the amendment is already allowed by this court before filing of this application. Further by the order dated 17-04-2025 this court has rejected the application of the defendants filed at Exh. 54 for rejection of the plaint under Order VII Rule 11 of Code of Civil Procedure. The fact remains now, the amendment is being allowed by this court by earlier order below Exh. 40 and in view of the said amendment order the claim petition as amended need to be on record. Further by say Exh. 48 the plaintiff has contended that he is ready to submit the amended plaint with the statement of truth with all requirement under Commercial Court Act. In these

[2]

Com.Suit No.04/2019 (Exh.46)

circumstances, this application deserves to be rejected.
Hence, the following order-

ORDER

- 1] Application Exh. 46 is rejected.
- 2] Amended plaint as filed on record alongwith Exh. 49 shall be taken on record.

Date : 23-06-2025.

(Aniruddha Y. Thatte.)
District Judge 2 Islampur.