

M.A.C.P. No. 90/2019

Sushma Prakash Mali & anr.

Vs.

Nitish Govind Deshpande & ors.

ORDER BELOW EXH.43

1. Perused the application, affidavit and say of other side. Respondent No. 2 filed say and resisted the application. Heard Ld. advocates of both the parties.

2. On perusal of record it appears that the matter is fixed for further evidence (P.H.) of petitioners. The reason mentioned in the application for not taking cross-examination of PW-1 by the respondent No.1 on due date, appears to be true and genuine. Thus, respondent No.1 has shown sufficient cause for failure to take cross-examination of PW-1 by him.

3. Taking into consideration, the circumstances of the case and above reasons, the application deserves to be allowed in the interest of justice. Hence the order.

ORDER

Application is allowed.

Date : 21/07/2022.

(Rajshri P. Pardeshi)
Member MACT Islampur

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F. file are same, word to word, as per original order.

Name of the Stenographer	:	Shri. B.M. Patil, Steno Grade 1
Court Name	:	Dist. Judge – 2 & Addl. Sessions Judge, Islampur.
Date	:	21/07/2022
Signed by P.O. on	:	21/07/2022
Uploaded on	:	22/07/2022