

ORDER BELOW EXH. 117

By way of this application the plaintiff has seeking permission for issuance of notices by the mode of substituted service as per the mandate of Order 5 Rule 20(1-A) of C.P.C.

2. I have gone through record and proceeding of this case. The sum and substance of the grievance of the plaintiff is that, defendant No. 5 executed sale deed in dispute in favour of defendants No. 6 to 18. It is stated that, defendants No. 6 to 18 are persons not known to the plaintiff. It is stated that, the addresses of defendants No. 6 to 18 given in plaint are those which are mentioned in respective sale deeds. The plaintiff has taken sincere efforts to serve summons by original mode i.e. by Court motion as well as R.P.A.D. However, summons could not be served on defendants No. 7, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 17. Considering the facts and circumstances it is just and proper to issue summons to these defendants by the mode of substituted service as per the mandate of Order 5 Rule 20(1-A) of C.P.C. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

1. Application is allowed.
2. Permission is granted to issue summons to defendants No. 7, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 17 as prayed in prayer clause No. 8(a) of the application.
3. No order as to costs.

Date : 19/07/2019

(V.V. Kulkarni)
4th Addl. Judge Small Causes Court
and Jt. Civil Judge(Sr. Division), Pune.

Special Civil Suit No. 950/2014
Ali Jafari vs. Hiranandani Properties and ors.

"I affirm that the contents of this P.D.F file Order are same word for word as per original Order.

Name of the Steno : Smt. K.C.Berad
Court Name : Shri. V.V. Kulkarni
4th Addl. Judge Small Causes Court
and Jt. Civil Judge(Sr. Division), Pune.
Date : 19.07.2019
Order signed by presiding officer on : 19.07.2019
Order uploaded on : 31.07.2019."