

S.C. NO. 80/2022
State Vs. Sangram Suryawanshi

ORDER BELOW EXH. 42

Present application is filed by accused no. 1 Sangram Suryawanshi under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. for bail.

2. It is the case of the prosecution that, on 19/05/2022 informant Ravindra Mane Deputy Manager of ICICI Bank lodged complaint to the police station. He alleged that, Rohit Pawar and he himself was authorized to withdraw the amount from ATM machine which was deposited by the customers. On 17/05/2022, when they were collecting the cash they found that 6 counterfeit currency note of denomination of 500/- rupees were deposited in bank account. It was revealed that on 13/05/2022 at about 9.02 pm the said counterfeit currency was deposited in the account of Vishal More. In CC TV footage, it appears that while depositing the amount the said person was wearing the mask. On inquiry with Vishal More, he told that the person wearing the mask and depositing the amount in his account is applicant/accused Sangram Suryavanshi. During the course of interrogation of applicant/accused No. 1 he has confessed about his presence with ATM deposit machine. He also mentioned the name of co-accused Pintu as the co-accused in the crime. According to him the present applicant with the aid of accused No. 3 circulated the fake currency notes to him. On the basis of his statement police has registered the crime. During investigation accused came to be arrested.

3. Applicant/accused has filed previous bail petition No. 101/2022, 151/2022, which were came to be rejected. Hence, applicant/accused has filed the present bail petition after committal of the case.

4. Learned APP appeared and resisted the bail petition by filing his say at Exh. 31 inter alia contending that, applicant accused is working in sales department of HDFC Bank. With intention to get the amount from Vishal, by way of online payment, he has deposited the counterfeit currency in ATM deposit machine. The applicant accused has been identified by his friend Vishal. Accused has received the fake currency from accused No. 3 Suresh and handover the same to accused No. 1. He is circulated the fake currency in the market. He is the main distributor. Accused No. 3 has destroyed the currency note by setting a fire. The said act was recorded in mobile phone. The mobile phone is seized from the said accused. There is prima facie involvement of applicant/accused in crime. If he is released on bail, he will pressurize the witnesses and will not available for trial. He will commit such type of offence again. Ultimately, he sought rejection.

5. Heard learned advocate Shri. D.I. Patil for accused and learned APP Shri. Madake for the State.

6. From record, it appears that the applicant accused has filed bail application before the Hon'ble High Court Mumbai and before the Hon'ble Supreme Court New Delhi. The both applications were rejected. Ones the Hon'ble Apex Court has rejected the

....

application, it is not expected that trial Court shall entertain and allow the bail in that cases. Applicant/accused fails to show any change in circumstance to grant the bail. Though, some of co-accused are released on bail, the role played by applicant/accused and released co-accused is totally different. They are not on same footing. Hence, applicant/accused is not entitled for bail on the ground of parity. In result, I pass the following order.

ORDER

1. Bail application is hereby rejected.

ISLAMPUR.

Date : 26.03.2024

(Aniruddha Subhash Gandhi)

Additional Sessions Judge, Islampur.

CERTIFICATE

I affirm that, the contents of this P.D.F. file are same, word to word, as per original order.

Name of the Steno	:	Shri. Pradip T. Patil (Grade-I)
Court Name	:	Addl. Sessions Court, Islampur.
Date of Judgment/order	:	26/03/2024
Signed by P.O. on	:	26/03/2024
Uploaded on	:	27/03/2024