

MHSN030022562024



Common Order Below Exh.01 and on Adjournment Application
In PWDVA No.90/2024
(Poonam Shrikant Patil)
Vs
(Shrikant Aravind Patil & Ors.)

Perused the application. Both Ld. Advocate and applicant are present. Ld. Advocate for applicant submitted that, already sufficient time has been given to the respondents. On previous date, last chance was given. Despite that present application came to be filed. From the record it appears that, ample opportunity has been given to respondents for filing their say. On last date, last chance was given but in vain. There is no sufficient reason for granting adjournment. Accordingly, application is rejected. Consequently, “No say” order is passed below Exh. 1.

Sangli
Date: 17-03-2025.

(Vinod V. Patil)
Judicial Magistrate First Class
Sangli.