

MHSN030018192024



**E-FILE**  
**ORDER BELOW EXH 14 IN PWDVA No.80/2024**  
(Shivaji Musale v. Siddhartha Musale & Ors)

It is the contention of respondents in this application that, they could not reach out to their learned advocate for giving necessary instructions hence, the delay has been caused. Resultantly, the Court was pleased to forfeit their rights for filing say. Heard the learned advocate of respondents. Heard the learned advocate of applicant at some length. It is trite law that, litigant must not suffer for the want of their contact with their counsel. *Per contra*, the learned advocate of applicant strenuously pressed for imposition of heavy costs. Having heard the learned counsel, it is apposite to mention that this matter has been delayed due to one or other reason and stance of parties. But the opportunity of hearing cannot be denied to other side. Therefore, in order to render propitious opportunity to the parties, following order would suffice.

<b><u>ORDER</u></b>	
1.	Application is allowed saddled with costs of Rs. 1,000/- (One thousand only).
2.	No say order passed below Exh. 1 on 18/12/2024 is set aside subject to compliance to aforementioned costs by respondents in lump-sum in favour of applicant.

Date: 24.03.2025.

(Wahab A. Syed)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
(Court No. 2), Sangli.

**CERTIFICATE**

I affirm that the contents of this PDF file are same as per original.

Name of the Stenographer	: A.P.Patil.
Name of Court	: JMFC, Court No.2, Sangli.
Date	: 24.03.2025
Order signed by the Presiding officer on	: 24.03.2025
Order uploaded on	: 24.03.2025