

**ORDER BELOW EXH. 17 IN R.C.S. No. 316/2024**

**Tejaswini Kishor Shah Vs. Nitin Mahadev Kale & Ors.**

The present application is filed for permission to file written statement for the defendant no. 3 on record.

2. Perused the application. Heard the Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff. Perused the record.

3. It appears from the record that the defendant no. 3 appeared on 09.01.2025 and however failed to file W.S. till 03.12.2025. Though No WS order is not passed against the defendant no. 1, it is imperative on the part of the defendant to file WS within time and if not filed within 90 days, then he shall say permission to file WS.

4. Considering reason mentioned in the application and the plaintiff has no objection to get WS on record, I am inclined to take WS on record as it will help the defendant to put forth his defence on record and thereby matter will be decided on merit. Therefore, for the reasons recorded above, this application is allowed and the order as under-

**ORDER**

1. The application is allowed.
2. The defendant no. 3 is permitted to file W.S. on record.

**Date: 16/02/2026.  
Place: Sangli.**

**(Rohini S. Patil)  
Judicial Magistrate First Class,  
(Court No.1), Sangli.**